DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + +

MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Technical Amendment

: Public

Rulemaking

: Hearing

:

:

.

APRIL 29, 2015

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street N.W., Washington, D.C., Chairperson Ruthanne Miller, presiding.

PRESENT:

RUTHANNE MILLER, Chairperson

DONALD BROOKS, Member

NICK ALBERTI, Member

HECTOR RODRIGUEZ, Member

MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member

JAMES SHORT, Member

HERMAN JONES, Member

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

1

11:35 a.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Okay, the next CHAIRPERSON MILLER: rulemaking hearing is on what's called our Technical Amendment Rulemaking.

Whoever is -- let's see, Mr. Kline, I believe you signed up to testify. Mr. Pascal and somebody else, yes, from the Black Cat, yes. you can come forward while I'm reading this, if you like.

> MR. KLINE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We're here today pursuant to D.C. Official Code 25-211(b) to conduct a public hearing and take comment on amendments adopted by the Board on February 25, 2015, to a proposed rulemaking that makes several changes to Title 23 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations.

The amended proposed rulemaking, the Technical Amendment Rulemaking, makes several changes to a number of Chapters within Title 23 to conform to those corollary changes contained

in the Omnibus Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Emergency Amendment Act of 2014.

Additionally, this rulemaking makes other administrative changes, not related to the Act.

I will begin this hearing by calling on those witnesses who notified the Agency in advance, regarding their desire to address the Board. Each witness will be granted no more than five minutes to speak, of course, that doesn't include Board questions.

The Board will then entertain comments from other interested parties who did not register in advance, but who wish to be heard.

If there's any time left at the end of the hearing, the Board may consider granting additional time to individuals.

If you have prepared written remarks, please hand them to Ms. Jeanette Walker and she'll ensure that they are properly filed.

After the Board convenes this hearing today, the record will remain open for interested

1	parties to submit additional comments.
2	Okay, so, let's start with
3	introductions. Mr. Pascal?
4	MR. PASCAL: Bill Pascal, representing
5	the District of Columbia Association of Beverage
6	Alcohol Wholesalers.
7	MR. KLINE: Good morning. Andrew
8	Kline on behalf of Restaurant Association,
9	Metropolitan Washington.
10	MR. FERRANDO: Dante Ferrando from
11	Black Cat and Live Performance Coalition.
12	MS. FERRANDO: Katherine Ferrando,
13	also from the Live Performance Coalition and
14	Black Cat.
15	MS. FARLEE: Coralie Farlee, ANC
16	Committee, ANC 6.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, why don't
18	we start with Mr. Pascal?
19	MR. PASCAL: Good morning.
20	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Good morning.
21	MR. PASCAL: I am President and
22	General Counsel of the District of Columbia

Association of Beverage Alcohol Wholesalers, and on behalf of this association, thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed technical amendments to Title 23 of the DCMR.

We had previously testified before the ABC Board at a public hearing on November 13th, commenting on the initially proposed rules, while the Board subsequently made important amendments based on the comments of local business groups and civic associations, but unfortunately, did not address many of the issues that we raised.

At this time, we are requesting that the Board revisit the following concerns.

First, the proposed licensing exemption in 23 DCMR 213.1 presents serious challenges to wholesalers in identifying who is qualified for the exemption. It places a significant burden on wholesalers to ensure compliance with D.C. Official Code 25-102(a) which prohibits wholesalers from selling to an unlicensed person other than a consumer of wine and malt beverages.

To protect wholesalers from committing an unintended violation of D.C. Official Code 25-102(a), the Board should require the unlicensed entity to give proof of sale through signing an affidavit of notice of sufficient information on who the buyer is.

Second, the proposed 45 day window for an applicant to submit all supporting documentation for an ABC application described in 23 DCMR 500.2 and the proposed 15 day window for applicants to submit supplemental documentation for an ABC application described in DCMR 500.3 are unrealistic deadlines to impose upon ABC applicants.

The proposed deadlines unreasonably penalize an applicant for circumstances and delays that are beyond the applicant's control.

For example, delays can arise through inspection scheduling, as well as through unforeseen complications involving corporate entity filings. To account for these days, the Board should consider lengthening the submission

period and to set forth a process where applicants may request an extension of such deadline before an application is deemed applicant withdrawn.

Finally, DCABAW strongly recommends that prior to the issuance of a cease and desist order under 23 DCMR 808.1, that the Board should provide notice to the ABC licensee, to allow time to cure, to prevent drastic disruptions to business operations, especially in instances of unintentional oversight.

A lapse in an entity's status is known to occur as a result of circumstances that are out of the entity's control. One common culprit is the registered agent's failure to transmit timely notices. Another is the delay that businesses face in obtaining documents and licenses from other District agencies, as the -- as well as the occasion failure of these agencies to notify them of the expiration of their licenses, including language that would allow a license holder to restore its status within a

period of time before the issuance of the cease and desist order were protected against the loss of revenue, preserve its good standing and enable it to maintain its operations as it seeks to correct underlying documentation and other Agency-issued licenses.

On behalf of DCBA, thank you for considering the recommendations of D.C.
Wholesalers. Should you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact our office.

I'd like to add just a couple other comments.

In Section 606 of the proposed regulations deals with LLC's. LLC's can be a complicated issue because there are many structures where you have structured LLC's where the license will be in one LLC, then it will be owned by another single member LLC, and I've seen cases where there is five layers of LLC's and the final one is a publically traded company.

Each of the examiners have their own way of dealing with this, when you file an

application and you never quite know what you need to submit, you know, whether you have to have the SEC filing, which doesn't seem necessary, or whether you can just go to the second level, which is really the real owner of the first level.

So, that's something that has to be hashed out. I finally understood what was proposed in the regulations, when Ms. Moosally explained it to me.

So, I have no problem with what's there now, but I think you need to deal further, because it's a real nightmare for some of us to do licensing on a regular basis.

Finally, this whole process takes too much time. There are many good recommendations in there. There are many times that I'll pick up the phone and call to Fred and say, "You know, I've got a good idea," but then the time that I call and say, "Here is something that will really be beneficial," or something that he thinks is beneficial, to consummation, you know, we started

this back in the Fall, so, it was published 1 2 before that. We're here today. You now have to finally publish it. 3 I guess there is a way -- City Council review 4 that they can change things, so, we're talking 5 about maybe some sensible things that before it's 6 7 all over, was going to take a year, and there's got to be a better way to put into effect, some -8 9 - especially some things that practitioners, such as Andrew and I, when we see on a regular basis 10 and we make a suggestion, it may not go into 11 effect for a year, and that doesn't make sense. 12 13 So, what it's worth, that's my 14 comment. 15 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, so, I think 16 the way we'll proceed is, we'll hear from everybody and then come back with questions. 17 18 MR. PASCAL: Certainly. 19 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, Mr. Kline? 20 MR. KLINE: Good morning. Kline on behalf of Restaurant Association 21

Metropolitan Washington.

RAMW, when I heard this last time, we had over 800 restaurant members, restaurant and food supplier members. Now, we have over 950.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: How many?

MR. KLINE: Nine-hundred-fifty members, of which 500 are restaurants located within the District of Columbia.

RAMW, we believe, is considered the principle spokesperson for hospitality interests in the District of Columbia, and our members range from traditional white tablecloth fine dining establishments, to more casual eateries, taverns, nightclubs and other kinds of venues.

I have not, at this point, prepared written testimony, because I did in the Fall, and in reading the proposed rulemaking, it seemed that whoever prepared the proposed rulemaking did an excellent job of summarizing my testimony. In fact, I read it and I said, "Who is this eloquent guy who has got all these great ideas," and it turned out to be me.

But unfortunately, it seems that a

number of them were not incorporated into the rulemaking, which is very disappointing, and there are -- I want to focus on three issues that were in the original rulemaking and one new issue.

With respect to cease and desist and the changes that the Board proposes there, it seems that we have a solution in search of a problem here.

I don't really understand why is it that the Board feels that these changes are necessary. I'm not sure what problem is being addressed, and I haven't heard anything in the testimony or from the Board, about problems.

If there are issues with compliance in other areas, we explained the last time we were here, that dealing with the myriad of agencies in the District of Columbia, that regulate these establishments, is uneven, to be kind.

Not everyone does it was well as this Agency, and in terms of having time frames -- and I understand it says that the Board may, but I

guess the question is, well, why does the Board need to?

I mean, ultimately, if someone has
their restaurant license suspended or revoked or
whatever the case may be, the Board is in a
position to deal with that, and I'm simply not
aware, and maybe the Board is and maybe there is
something more than I'm aware of, of a lot of
situations that are covered by these proposed
cease and desist, where the Board has found
itself powerless to act. I'm really sort of
unclear as to what the reason for that is.

Secondly, with respect to abandonment of applications, we spoke about that last time.

Our issue there is, we have our own frustrations in terms of time periods with Agency staff. The length of time that it now takes placards -- takes to get placards is an inordinately long -- it's -- I mean, it's you know, if we push, we get them in four or five weeks, and it just seems the front end of the process is dragging.

So, to now come in and say, "All right, we're going to put this these fixed time frames for applicants to do things," without any countervailing restrictions on the Agency, just seems completely unfair.

I have asked for quite a period of time, what our expectation should be, for example, in getting placards. Is there a standard? What's the standard, so we know when we should scream, but I haven't gotten that.

So, I don't -- I can't even tell clients when the Agency says the date is by which we should get placards after we filing, and that's very troubling and very frustrating, for people that are investing, in some cases, several hundred thousand or millions of dollars in the District of Columbia.

Service by email, we applaud this, but made some points last time that we think are very, very important, and in thinking about this further, and I don't think I focused on it last time, the other issue is, everyone maintains an

email account, it has a spam filter, and sometimes, we have trouble getting emails that we want to get, but because of the spam filter, we don't get that.

It just makes common sense that if we are going to do service by email, that there be a designated address from which these emails would come, so that you can white-list that address and you can be fully assured of getting the communications that you need to move forward with your license application, and this was detailed in my last testimony, and it seems to have all been ignored. None of it was incorporated into the rulemaking.

Lastly, I need to talk about the proposed expansion of the definition of egregious, for purposes of determining when licensees get warnings on first offense sale to minor charges.

I believe this represents at least the third attempt by the Board, to expand the definition of egregious, and therefore, narrow

the circumstances under which licensees get warnings for first offense sale to minors.

Now, I don't understanding the Board's hostility to written warnings. It's palpable.

It's been demonstrated in the evidence over a period of five years. When we had mandatory written warnings on minor first offense, the Board dragged its feet, in terms of doing rulemaking for almost five years. I mean, so I think the hostility is pretty evident.

In terms of the sale to minors, and speaking to the Director, I'm told the warning system works pretty well. So, I don't know why it is that the Board thinks we need to go back and keep tinkering with the warning system, if it works pretty well.

I understand that we're concerned about sale to minors. We all are. We want our members in compliance. But the importance of education that comes from a warning cannot be overstated, and I simply -- this is another one of those where are there a lot of cases where the

Board has had problems, where they haven't been able to properly discipline or enforce against licensees?

I'm unaware of them. Perhaps, the Board has different experiences. I'm not here for every case. I'm not here every Wednesday. I'm here a lot of them, but I don't know of a situation which would cause the Board to keep coming back and cut back on these -- on the mandatory Board warnings.

Our association, my direction from my association is to fight this, and we will here and at the Council, if this is included in the rulemaking, and if it causes the whole rulemaking to fail, that would be unfortunate, but those are my instructions at this point, and we just do not understand why there is such hostility by this Board, to the concept of warnings in first offense situations.

Thank you. I'm happy to take any questions that the Board has, at the conclusion of the other -- the other testimony.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

MR. FERRANDO: Hi. I'm Dante

Ferrando. I'm one of the owners and operate the Black Cat. I'm also a member of the Live Performance Coalition. We've put in some written testimony that addressed three concerns. I'd like to speak to just one of them, what I think is the most important, the cease and desist provision.

We think the proposed regs overstep what that statute allows, by expanding the Board's authority to suspend the license in situations such as a basic business license expiring or expiration of good standing or when a check is bounced.

My partner Katherine can probably better address the legal concerns we have. I'd like to talk about the type of situations that often come up while running the business, that could trigger a suspension under 808.1.

We currently have four basic business licenses, and at times we've held more basic

business licenses than that.

In the 25 years that I've owned a liquor licensed establishment in D.C., there have been a number of times where DCRA or another agency has made clerical errors that would have caused us to be in violation of 808.1, and I'll just give you a few examples.

There was a point where DCRA issued is duplicate licenses. I believe it was a restaurant license by mistake. We didn't know they had issued two mirroring licenses.

So, when we went to renew, we obviously only renewed the one license that we thought we had. So, we were operating with an expired restaurant license for two years, along with a valid restaurant license, which obviously took a long time to clear up.

There was another situation more recently, where we had surrendered our basic business license that allows us to sell cigarettes. We didn't think we needed it anymore.

So, DCRA did not record it -
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Can you repeat
that?

MR. FERRANDO: So, we had a basic business license that allowed us to sell cigarettes, but we had determined we didn't need that license anymore, and so, we handed it back in and we decided we wouldn't have that basic business license anymore to sell cigarettes.

But DCRA did not record it as surrendered properly. So, they had it on record as just, we hadn't paid the renewal, where we had actually said, "We don't want this license anymore."

A few years followed that. We never got any notification of any sort in back dues or anything, so by the time we discovered that they still had this license, it was about \$1,000 in fees and interest, but we had never been informed that this license had become -- the fees had become due.

So, when these types of problems come

up, it's fairly infrequent, in my experience, that we get notified by DCRA. Usually, you discover there is a problem only when you go in to renew that license two years later, or potentially go to get a different license that requires the first license to get the second license, and at that point, there has already been penalties and interest and fees assessed. So, there is a complicated dispute between you and DCRA. It frequently takes weeks, or sometimes months, to resolve.

There is a host of similar situations that come up with other licensing divisions.

We've renewed our good standing, for instance, 12 times since we've been in business.

We've been notified that we were suppose to renew it maybe nine times. So, about one-quarter of the time, we didn't get renewal reminders.

There is some strange situations like trade name registration, wasn't the thing when we opened. When they decided there was going to be trade name registration, we were told initially,

that that would be a permanent trade name registration.

Somewhere along the line, they changed that to something that needed to be regularly renewed, but nobody was ever informed that it was something that needed to be regularly renewed, so we wouldn't discover something like that until that license -- the renewal was required for something else, and we would be not in good standing or not have the relevant license for a period of time.

Now, I know you guys are going to say that 808.1 will be used -- the provisions in it would be used very infrequently and only in the most extreme circumstances, but I have two things I'd like you to consider.

The judgment of this Board may be very wise and sound, but what about your successors?

None of the Board was here when we opened, and there's a very good chance that you all will move on to do other things in the future.

So, the Board changes regularly and in my experience, the different Boards have taken very different approaches to the way they see and apply the regulations.

So, I'd like you to consider not just how you guys would interpret the regulations, but how -- consider how others would use the regulations when deciding what to include and what not to include.

Then the second thing I'd say is, the best of judgment is only useful if you have all the facts. Without a provision in here that gives the licensee an opportunity to explain their specific situation, you're relying potentially, solely on the information from DCRA or the other licensing division, to be able to make an informed decision, which is inadequate.

So, I have three potential fixes I would propose, any of which I think could solve the problem.

Either do away with the parts of 808.1 that refer to expired licenses and bounced checks

1	completely, or require a Show Cause hearing
2	before you guys take action to suspend something,
3	so that you can get all the information, or
4	potentially require that the Agency that is
5	referring this license problem to you, has had
6	some form of due process, before you guys take
7	action on it, because I think due process in this
8	case is very important to clear up any
9	misunderstandings.
10	Thank you for the opportunity to
11	speak.
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you very
13	much.
14	MR. FERRANDO: Thank you.
15	MS. FERRANDO: Good morning, Chairman
16	and Members of the Board.
17	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Good morning.
18	MS. FERRANDO: I'm Katherine Ferrando.
19	I'm also co-owner of Black Cat and here as part
20	of the Live Music Coalition, which I don't know
21	if Dante so explained that. It's a new group,
22	just of live music clubs.

I'm here also just to talk about the cease and desist provision, although I would endorse the remarks that Mr. Kline made to you.

I think he raised a lot of good points.

It appears that the new cease and desist provision in the regulations is designed to implement the Omnibus bills seizure section 25-801(g). That section allows the Board to clean up old outstanding licenses by seizing licenses which are no longer or should no longer be in use at all, and the statute lays it out very clearly, that there is three categories that -- where the business just doesn't exist anymore, or the Government has -- in two categories, where the Government has basically closed the business down.

It's at that point, after a business has been closed, you're at the end of the procedural due process. The business has been shut down and ABRA is just sort of tying up loose ends.

But the proposed rulemaking appears to

be, to me, entirely different than that concept.

It allows the Board to issue cease and desist

orders when there are administrative problems

that have cropped up, but before the Government

has yet taken any action against the business.

There is no requirement in the rulemaking itself, as far as I can see, of a notice or hearing of any kind, yet the Board could issue the cease and desist order.

The D.C. Code does allow cease and desist orders to be issued in that immediate way, in another code section that already exists, but in that section, it requires a risk of immediate and irreparable harm to the public, and so, therefore, the frame work in the D.C. Code with this -- either the seizure provision, the new one or the cease and desist provision, recognizes the due process right, as it's required to, because it's some law that a license to do business is property, and it has those procedural protections.

A cease and desist order can be a very

temporary thing, but for a live music venue in particular, it's a lot more than just a lost revenue for that night. Not only do you have the lost revenue, you have to refund every ticket. You have to pay the band in full, and you become immediately, at least technically, contractually liable to every band on that booking agent's roster, to pay them up front for -- and we're booked usually out for about nine months. So, that could be \$100,000 right there, which you would have to technically immediately pay.

But the most important -- damaging part would be that you could get black-listed by the booking agents, if you don't put on the show, especially if it's an important one.

If you're a smaller or a newer business, you could basically end up not being able to work with any of the big booking agents anymore. But someone like Black Cat, we could probably weather it, but we'd be digging our way out of a hole for a long time.

The proposed rulemaking suspends due

process in the absence of any emergency or strong Governmental interest, and imposes that -- that penalty, which really could be significant.

So, the statutory frame work, as I see it, doesn't authorize that procedural change and perhaps more importantly, I think that change infringes on the right to procedural due process, and if challenged, I don't think it would hold up.

The rulemaking uses the cease and desist language, but since it doesn't follow any of the requirements in the statute of that irreparable harm to the public, it's unclear to me, actually which provision of the statute the Board is implementing, and I am -- you know, readily admit, this is not an area of law I've practiced in. This is just based on my reading of the rulemaking and statute.

But if it is intended to implement the new seizure provision, and it does echo some of that language, it needs to mirror the language of that statute, that new statutory provision, and

if you compare the two, you see a huge disconnect.

The seizure section of the statute spells out very specifically, when an ABRA violation, in particular could result in seizure. It has to be when there is a suspension, revocation or cancellation of a license, at -- not -- excuse me, not when a payment is late or a check has been bounced, as in the rulemaking, and the omnibus bill also allows seizure where another agency has closed the business, and it uses that language 'closed', as opposed to the rulemaking, which has a laundry list of errors that in no way, equate to closure.

An expired license -- expired certificate of occupancy or lack of good standing, as Dante was explaining, don't signify that due process has been provided the way that closure does. It doesn't even mean that notice has even been given.

At a minimum, you need notice and an opportunity to be heard, in order for that

procedural due process to be given.

Bounced checks and expired licenses could, of course, ultimately result in suspension or closure, but only after those procedural steps have been taken.

In our view, ABRA needs to follow its own established procedures to address administrative problems and violations that come up, and other agencies should follow their own processes, and suspend licenses as needed.

If other agencies need to turn to ABRA for enforcement of their own rules, then they should be -- it should be after they've provided the notice and an opportunity to be heard, and if ABRA is stepping in before the other agencies have done that, then ABRA needs to provide notice in the hearing, through it's Show Cause provision or it's -- sorry, Show Cause hearing or something along those lines, not just order a cease and desist, based on its own discretion in the absence of a full set of facts.

The Council did not take away those

procedural due process rights and absent imminent public safety risks, ABRA does not have authority to do so either.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.

MS. FERRANDO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Ms. Farlee?

MS. FARLEE: I submitted written comment. This -- my focus is pub crawl section of the proposed changes, and I submitted comments from the ANC committee, which I will read, but I also want to preface those comments by saying I think several things in the present law could be valuable to keep and not replace totally by what is proposed in the rulemaking.

My comments about -- that I submitted are six points. That there be at least 60 days in advanced the proposed notifications that affect agencies and registered associations, to provide an opportunity for review, comment and necessary protest.

Increase the annual fee for pub crawl to be more comparable to the daily fee for a

temporary license, that is something closer to \$100 a day, rather than \$150 a year.

Increase the -- institute a requirement for one RDO for every 250 patrons expected or tickets sold.

Increase or tighten the criteria for eligibility requirements relative to recent offenses. Now, that assumes that some of the original language is contained, but ABRA would have to modify that, if the whole thing is replaced.

Five, allow ANC's to include prohibitions on pub crawls in our CA's, SA's and if the pub crawl will include establishments that have both CR and CT licenses, where the license to carry a pistol amendment act of 2014 allows pistols to be carried, versus prohibits the carry, allows them in CR's and prohibits in CT's, the promoter must make it clear to participants that they are not allowed to carry weapons into the CT venues.

Those are my written comments, and as

1	I said, I suggested several things in the
2	existing section of seven 712 could be
3	should be retained.
4	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you.
5	MS. FARLEE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, Board,
7	questions?
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm going to start
9	off with did you want to
10	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: What?
11	MEMBER ALBERTI: Can I start off with
12	an easy one?
13	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure, Mr.
14	Alberti.
15	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes, and this is to
16	Ms. Farlee.
17	With respect to the pub crawls, I'll
18	discuss this with my fellow Board members, but my
19	recommendation will be to and my
20	understanding, what was going to be done was, it
21	was that the rest of 712 would remain there.
22	We would insert these these new

1	provisions that you see in this in this
2	rulemaking, and renumber the rest of the section.
3	MS. FARLEE: I see, but I
4	MEMBER ALBERTI: And we wouldn't
5	MS. FARLEE: the way it
6	MEMBER ALBERTI: So, my intent is not
7	to replace all of that.
8	MS. FARLEE: But I think the wording
9	says replace.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, it says replace
11	seven
12	MS. FARLEE: But that
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: It says 712.1, and it
14	doesn't talk about the rest of the section.
15	de Tde.unbend zenfingten
	So, yes, I understand your confusion
16	and I will my recommendation to the rest of
16 17	
	and I will my recommendation to the rest of
17	and I will my recommendation to the rest of the Board will be to retain that in and clear
17 18	and I will my recommendation to the rest of the Board will be to retain that in and clear up the fact that we're if we all agree, we'll
17 18 19	and I will my recommendation to the rest of the Board will be to retain that in and clear up the fact that we're if we all agree, we'll renumber the rest of the section.

made that comment.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Silverstein?

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you. Thank
you, especially Dante and Ms. Ferrando.

I want to talk first, because this was the first issue that was brought up, about the cease and desist and the problems with other agencies perhaps, having errors and failure to follow up or failure to notify.

He brought up some specific real-world examples, and I'm not going to ask you to name clients, but I'm going to ask both of you if you have any real-world examples of that -- those types of things involving your clients, and also, if you have any suggested fixes to the rulemaking itself, understanding what we are trying to accomplish, but eliminating these unintended consequences.

MR. KLINE: Sure. I'll give you my best one. I had a client who received their restaurant license renewal, this was in the days before actually, the urging of our association,

that Department of Health changed their -- and DCRA changed the requirements.

But he received his restaurant license renewal, signed it, sent in the check to DCRA by mail. We do not urge any of our clients to send anything by mail, but it did happen, and seven months later, seven months later he received it back with a notation that there needed to be included, a health inspection, and coincidentally, they had had a health inspection within -- and it had to be within the previous 30 days.

They had had a random health inspection. So, they photocopied the health inspection and they put it back in the mail, and they never heard anything else until an inspector came in and said, "Your restaurant license is expired. We are closing you down."

They were prepared to close them down, until my office intervened and I think the words, front page metro section might have been used, but they did not close them down. But it was

touch and go, in terms of whether they were going to close them down.

So, those things happen, and unfortunately, they happen more than we would like. DDOT and some other agency right now, where we have tremendous frustration getting what we need to show that are people are in compliance, and again, I state what I said during my initial testimony, what's the problem we're trying to solve here?

I mean, regulations are great, but in my humble opinion, regulations should be thought about when there's a specific problem that needs to be addressed, and I just don't know what -- what problem, and I associate myself with the comments that were made by my colleague, with I think are excellent, and hadn't really thought through, but I think they're all on point.

There are due process considerations.

So, I would just ask the Board to think about why
do we need this? What is the problem? What are
we trying to do?

MR. PASCAL: I would just like to add, you know, I don't do as much in the way of licensing as some of the others, but I'm here as Counsel for the Association of Wholesalers, and I'm always concerned about the good health and standing of our customers, and that is why my wholesalers have me come and testify, because we have 1,900 licensees in the city. We need to have an orderly process because, you know, I keep a computer program and periodically, we get information that someone's license isn't in good standing. We have to get a hold of the Board.

So, my comments were based on at least my knowledge that issues are occurring out there, and I can tell you, I've had trade name registration issues come up. I've had corporate issues, where the registered agent left the city and then they suddenly found that their corporation was delisted, which would trigger under your rules -- and they just really didn't know.

So, it happens out there. I mean,

we're dealing with an agency with many different licensing functions. You don't go to one stop for a license in D.C. anymore. You have to go to many different things, and somewhere along the line in that chain, you're going to have a problem.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Others? Mr.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Others? Mr.

Kline, I heard you say in your testimony,

regarding the egregious standard that there was
- there is an importance to education that comes

with a warning.

MR. KLINE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Could you elaborate on that?

MR. KLINE: Yes, sale to minors is obviously pretty basic. So, I don't want to -- I don't want to give the Board the impression that this is not on operator's minds.

But there are a lot of requirements in the ABC law and the other laws, that apply to these businesses, and you know, those that run businesses know that -- a couple things.

One, there are a lot of requirements.

Two, unless you're strictly a single store mom and pop, and you're there all the time, you have to rely on employees, you have to rely on managers, and you have to rely on the employees that work for those managers.

So, the education component is when there is a violation, if there is a fine, that's certain an education. If there is a violation that leads to a warning, that's also an education because it's, "Hey, I got to pay more attention," and no matter what the circumstances, the law is that those under 21 are not to be sold or to consume alcohol in our members premises.

The penalties, as the Board knows, are

-- you know, can be pretty steep and they

escalate pretty quickly and the warning is -
could be a wake up call, and as I've said, I

mean, I've spoken to the director about this on

many occasions and my impression is, unless he's

misleading me, the system of warnings seems to

work pretty well.

You know, and there are places that

get a warning and never have another problem, and the Council, in their infinite wisdom, think of it what you will, decided a few years ago, that this should be in the law and that it was fair that establishments be given written warnings for

first offenses, except for egregious violations.

As I said before, we're now on our third attempt to expand that definition, or at least third, it might be the fourth, to expand the definition of egregious, which necessarily narrows the number of establishments that gets warnings, and we don't see the harm in the warning.

If the Board has an issue and does not like what's going on or there are specific concerns, then you have the resources to send investigators back in, and if they are going to repeatedly violate, they can certainly be caught and given the funding that I know is there, that comes from a grant from the Federal Government, the ability is to go back in and police these places and look and make sure that they're not

repeat offenders. 1 2 So, we think the education component is very important. We think in the -- as we've 3 talked about previously, the warnings in the 4 first offense, non-serious violations has worked 5 very, very well doing its part, and we think in 6 7 the sale to minors, it's worked well also. CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, so, I 8 9 wasn't here for the first round when 'egregious' was first assigned, and Mr. Rodriguez and Mr. 10 11 Short weren't there in the previous rounds. But do you have an opinion as to why 12 13 those definitions may be appropriate, but the new ones may not be? You know, the first one. 14 15 So, well, go ahead. You know how I mean, if they're agreeing --16 there are three? if it comes from an agreement that the third one, 17 18 a year or two ago.

MR. KLINE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Patterns.

MR. KLINE: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Patterns.

19

20

21

MR. KLINE: I mean, willful is

certainly -- there is no question. I mean, if

somebody willfully serves people who are

underage, and we've had conversations, "Well, how

do we prove that?"

Well, and we've had conversations about that, as well, if you are marketing to an audience that is underage, for example, you're distributing flyers in a high school, and your investigators go into a place and there are a bunch of high school students, then I think the Board can infer that that's willful, that they set out to lure people who are underage, into their establishment, and then sold them alcoholic beverages in violation of the law, and it seems pretty clear, that that's willful.

is, you ask for an ID, you're not given an ID, and you sell anyway. Well, obviously either your policy is that you ID everyone, and which is, you know, certainly a commendable policy and appropriate for certain places, or you had reason

to believe that that person was underage, so you 1 2 asked them for an ID. So, under those circumstances, we 3 agree, you sell under those circumstances, yes, 4 that's more than an employee just simply, you 5 know, getting too busy, not paying attention, or 6 7 another circumstance. So, we think that those are pretty clear. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, on the other 10 hand, can you address what you think is not good about the additional recommendations? 11 MR. KLINE: Other than this is the 12 13 third time or fourth time we're doing it? CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Well --14 15 MR. KLINE: I mean, under 17, it 16 shouldn't happen, but people look all different My wife started college at 16, okay, and 17 ways. 18 would have been with people who in those days, 19 were of age, which in this instance was 18, but 20 it just seems sort to arbitrary. I mean, you don't -- you know, to pick 21

an age -- places need to be careful, and I want

to be clear. I mean, our association wants our members in compliance and I don't want that to get lost. I mean, I don't want that misunderstood.

We see the warnings as tool to do that. The 17 seems just arbitrary. There are -we've all known 14 year olds that could pass for 25, and we've all known them. So, to just pick and age and say, "Well, ghee, you don't get the benefit of a warning, because this person happened to be 17 and, " or 16, or whatever the case may be, instead or 19, three or more minors under the age of 21, that's another situation where -- and we've seen them, where -particularly with our friend in China, who got put out of business, and I'm sure has been replaced by four more, where they make, you know, good fake ID's, and I think the warning again, can be a wake up call to that place, you had five people in there who were underage. You're getting a warning this time. But next time, don't expect any leniency. You know, you're going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

to get the max. Don't expect state days. That may be what we normally do.

The Board has other tools, is the point. It may be that there is a standard staff settlement, but there may be situations where on a second offense, after a warning the Board says, "We're not going to do the staff settlement."

I mean, this is the second time we have found five or ten people who are underage in your establishment consuming. We're bringing you in and you're going to hear from all seven of us about how we feel about that, and then when we're done, you're getting the max with no stayed days and a full fine.

I mean, you have the resources at your disposal to deal with those situations, and we would just submit, I mean, I have clients, several clients, and this didn't used to be the case, each of whom have six or more places that yes, it's their responsibility to manage them and run them and be in compliance with the law.

If that means they have six different

managers who then have 'x' number of employees and everyone can have a bad night, and we think that the warning again, is that education component, where -- you know, in these organizations, that have this many restaurants, if that happens, heads are going to roll.

You don't get five or six or seven or ten restaurants by not paying attention to business, but every once in a while, something can happen.

I mean, checking identification,
that's the same thing we've talked about. I mean,
that was -- when this was passed through the
committee and by the Council, the example of not
checking identification was one of the ones that
was given, and I know that the time, and at that
time, I think the first attempt to expand this
was to say if you don't check identification,
that's egregious.

No, that is -- that situation was exactly one that was talked about by the Council, and now, we're talking about if there are two or

more without checking ID, that somehow that that makes it that much worse, where you don't get a warning.

Intentionally, we've talked about.

That's the current law. So, I think that deals with all of them.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, all right,
I appreciate that, yes.

MR. FERRANDO: Can I make a -CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Sure.

MR. FERRANDO: I have a couple of things. One, something is a first-time offense, my feeling is that the Board's goal should be to try to fix the problems, not punish the licensee, though obviously, if the licensee is intending to sell to a minor, that's a very different situation.

But we should be looking to be fixing problems such as, are they training their employees right, do they have the proper procedures, and I think these additional things, two or more people and things like that, it's the

same procedural problem, or poorly trained employee serves one person or serves a group, they made a mistake.

The owner needs to know that they've made a mistake and try to fix that problem. I think that's the concept of the warning.

As a licensee, a warning is really scary. I mean, you get busted, whether it's a fine or a warning, you know you got in trouble. You know that's one strike and you're on that ladder of strikes against you. You're going to try to fix the problem, unless of course, you're not a good operator. Then that's already breaking the law.

So, I think that the idea of fixing problems is pretty important. Also, and I may be totally missing the point on this, but there is - - in the law, I know that we included a -- the person -- in our situation, it was most of the clubs, it's not a situation, like a restaurant, where you're deciding whether to ID. You initially ID everybody on their way in.

So, you've already had that, "I've 1 2 asked you for your ID," and then I would make an exception because okay, you're obviously not 21, 3 so, I might say, okay, let that person have a 4 pass, and then we ask them for ID, and we've had 5 a long debate over that, if you're over 21. 6 7 MEMBER ALBERTI: Over 21. MR. FERRANDO: Yes, if the person is 8 9 MEMBER ALBERTI: You just said under 10 21. 11 12 MR. FERRANDO: If the person is 13 legally over 30, you would usually give them a pass. So, we've already triggered the ID'ing the 14 15 person, and as a defense to that, we had a long 16 conversation about this in the Jim Graham's committee meeting, at the -- if the person is 17 18 ID'ed and we get in trouble for it, and they are 19 over 21, that's in the law as a defense. 20 I'm not sure where it is in the regs, and whether it's -- whether it got put into the 21

I don't know if that ever made it in

regs.

there.

MR. KLINE: I think if you ask for an ID and you're not given one and you serve, you're in violation.

MR. FERRANDO: And the laws -- and the new --

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: But you're not serving to a minor if they're over 21.

MR. KLINE: What's that?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: You're not serving to a minor if they're over 21.

MR. FERRANDO: But the way the law as originally written, it was a violation to sell a drink. If I ask you -- you walk in and I ask you for your ID and you say, "No, I don't have one, sorry," and then I serve you a drink, the way the law was written before, that was not allowed. It was illegal, and then we put in that that was -- there was a defense, if you are actually over 21, that would be the defense, and it would be nice to see that somewhere in the regs, since if feel like that's kind of --

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, yes, all right.

MR. KLINE: And just one more point on this, because to us, it's so important.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: I know.

MR. KLINE: It is -- and Mr. Pascal reminded me of this. We've raised it before.

The underage, those that seek to drink underage, they're -- they don't get punished. I mean, there is -- nothing happens to them. I mean, they -- the -- you know, supposedly, if they give a fake ID, then they're suppose to get sanctioned, but unless the officer sees it, it doesn't happen.

So, it's known -- it's known among those that are underage that it's open season in the District, that they can come in here -- into the District and drink, they can't do it in Maryland, can't do it in Virginia, but they can do it in D.C., which means there is this chess game going on, okay, where these kids -- you know, because they know nothing is going to

happen to them.

They only thing that they're putting at risk is the operator, and the poor bartender, and I had a case, I've told it so many times, you've probably heard it, she was 22.

So, you know, the Council keeps saying, "Oh, these kids, we don't want to put a mark on these kids records, who go out and drink alcohol." What about the young woman behind the bar? Putting away -- working her way through school, and she forgot to check an ID, and she ends up in a criminal proceeding, which fortunately, in that case was dropped, because the woman was headed to Habitat for Humanity, and we convinced the prosecutors that maybe this wasn't a good case to pursue.

But I mean, you see the imbalance.

So, you know, we're stuck with it and the kids,
you know, give us a break, that is -- yes.

MR. PASCAL: This is an issue. You know, I'm a director of the Washington Regional Alcohol Program. They're the ones that put on

this sober-ride.

We actually train hundreds, if not thousands of youngsters in high school. We just added Cinco de Mayo on our sober-ride program.

The industry spends more money on responsible drinking, both as to drunk driving and underage, but we really are stymied on the youngsters walking free, and yet, the licensees facing issues.

I'm not too certain legislatively,
that it will ever happen, at least based on the
composition of the City Council and the opinion
of the police department, not wanting to have
extra work, but it's an issue, which really leads
to the need to have a warning, because the kids
are making the constant pressure on the
entrepreneurs.

MR. FERRANDO: We've been told constantly, you bust people, we all know we're very surprised and we see them get in trouble for it. They actually -- that's something that they usually don't see, that you guys got us in

trouble, because they're so familiar with the 1 2 idea that they don't have to bust somebody for using their older sister's ID, or something like 3 "Well, why do you care?" It's not really 4 against the law. It's not a big deal. You know, 5 they don't get it. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And what's the difference between D.C. and Maryland and Virginia 8 9 on this? 10 MR. KLINE: In Maryland and Virginia, they will cite them. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: They will cite 13 them? MR. KLINE: If the kids are caught in 14 15 an establishment drinking underage, then they get 16 cited. MR. FERRANDO: The police would write 17 18 them the same ticket they would if they smoked 19 marijuana. It's just a small ticket. 20 MR. PASCAL: We're not really seeking criminal action. I think what I've always said, I 21

want some kind of diversion program, you know,

where they maybe have to go spend some time in a 1 2 class, just like our members have to spend training programs, and then their record would be 3 expunged, but you know, and I guarantee you, if 4 some youngster at George Washington University is 5 run through that program, that's going to be a 6 7 shock that goes through the whole campus. MS. HIRAO: If I may, I also am --8 9 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, if you --10 MEMBER ALBERTI: No. 11 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: -- can come -- do you want to come to the table and introduce 12 13 yourself? MS. HIRAO: Risa Hirao, also with D.C. 14 15 Association of Beverage Alcohol Wholesalers. 16 In Maryland and in Virginia, if you speak to one of the investigators, I have a 17 18 concern about the technological advancements on 19 how these kids can get a hold of a fake ID, 20 complete with holograms, looks like the real

The investigators at Virginia ABC and

thing.

21

Maryland -- in Montgomery County, they'll say if

I can't tell if it's real, we won't cite the

retailer, but here in D.C. it seems like the -
the retailer is not given a second change.

We have encountered cases that come through our office, where the retailer has the computer, the books, everything in hand, but the card turned out to be fake. It was an underage person trying to buy beer, and despite explaining the situation to the Board, they were cited.

So, these are serious issues to think about, as you're going forward with the rulemaking, especially with sales to minors.

MR. PASCAL: I'm aware of one store owner that just sold her business, because she couldn't put up with it anymore. She was near a university. She said, "I just can't deal with this constant pressure of the youngsters," and she sold her business.

MR. FERRANDO: It's a very strange catch-22 with the ID situation, because we take ID's from people if they appear to be fake, but

it's -- or they're not using -- if we think it's an older sister's ID.

But technically, we're not allowed to in some cases and you're put in a very awkward position with somebody with a very good fake, because we feel like you guys will get us in trouble, if we let somebody drink on what could be a fake ID, but if you ask them and take somebody's real ID, you're stealing their property.

It's a tough situation without having any enforcement action against the actual violator, the kid who is trying to get that.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Silverstein?

MR. FERRANDO: I totally agree, the very small amount of enforcement on that front can send shock waves through the community and a lot of the bad actors would probably be a lot safer, a lot less inclined to try to -- so.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Well, it seems like you're barking up the wrong tree or not barking at all.

If this is such a problem, and I -from my experience, I agree with you. I mean,
you're not the only one who confiscates. There
are places that have chests full of them, and you
know, hundreds or thousands of them over the
years.

The question is, why haven't you gone to Council, and asked that this -- that the law be changed and that those who are underage and are committing this crime, and it is a crime, false ID, under the Patriot Act it certainly is, why are you not going there, and saying that they be cited, not that this end up being a Scarlet Letter against them for life, but this is -- this is creating a difficult situation for your clients. Why don't you make that job number one?

MR. KLINE: We have.

MR. PASCAL: You've got to bear in mind, some Council members are associated with the universities, and I won't say anymore.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Really?

MS. HIRAO: Just for the record, there

was a Bill, I think it was introduced by Council 1 2 Member Alexander, and our Association raised the serious issue of fake ID's. 3 Unfortunately, I don't think it went 4 anywhere, but we testified at length, about the 5 concern of how these fake ID's will jeopardize 6 7 businesses and that there is no penalty to these people who purchase, and tried to put the 8 9 retailer at risk. MR. KLINE: But Mister --10 MS. HIRAO: We have raised this issue. 11 MR. KLINE: Mr. Silverstein, we have. 12 13 We went to the Council, and it was a last concerted effort, maybe six or seven years ago, 14 15 and we -- you know, every time there is a Bill, 16 we make that push, and a certain Ward 2 Council Member said that --17 18 MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Is there more than 19 one? 20 Said that -- well, it's MR. KLINE: 21 been over the years. 22 MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Ward 3 also.

MR. KLINE: Said that he didn't want to see, you know, kids caught up in -- you know, kids are kids and that's that, and seemed to have no sympathy for my story, for the 22 year old kid who ended up getting hauled -- you know, they don't get hauled away, but they get a citation and they have to appear in Criminal Court, as a defendant, because she was simply negligent, and the kids that go out to drink, seek to intentionally break the law. Now, think about that.

You know, other than those people that willfully sale -- willfully sell to those underage, everyone else is just careless.

They're just negligent, but the kids that willfully go out to break the law, we can't get the Council to do anything to them, and it's very frustrating.

MR. FERRANDO: We've petitioned the police just to write tickets and just a fine, and we're told, "Like, oh, we don't really have the resources or the ability to do that," like it

	came down to, "We don't have a ticket book, that
2	will deal with that kind of violation." They
3	just don't have any interest.
4	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, anything?
6	MEMBER SHORT: I just have one
7	comment.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Short, yes.
9	MEMBER SHORT: This is especially to
10	the attorneys, but to the whole panel.
11	You petitioned our Council to change
12	the law, but Council will not do that, correct?
13	MR. PASCAL: We helped write it.
14	MEMBER SHORT: I understand that. It
15	didn't go anywhere?
	MR. KLINE: Right.
16	
16	MEMBER SHORT: Someone has to do
	MEMBER SHORT: Someone has to do something, is that correct? Shouldn't someone do
17	
17 18	something, is that correct? Shouldn't someone do
17 18 19	something, is that correct? Shouldn't someone do something? If you can't do everything, can't you

I have. That's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay.

MR. PASCAL: Yes, well, you know, some of us are, you know, aside from practicing down here, we're experienced in dealing with the City Council also, and you know, there's a give and take when you deal with trying to get legislation, and you know, at least we have met solid resistence, based on the current composition of the City Council.

So, you know, you don't shoot your load, when you know you're not going to get anywhere, because you have other issues that you have to deal with.

I can tell you, if I really felt there was a good chance of doing it, it's the mission of my wholesalers to push hard on this issue, and I've talked with the police department. I've talked as high as the Chief of Police on this issue, and right now, it's not going to happen.

So, at the very least, the warning side of it is important for the customers of my

wholesalers.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Mr. Rodriguez?

MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: So, I'm new to the
Board. I'm a rookie, a year on, but it is
frustrating to me, just from listening, and you
know, looking at some of the cases, that you
know, minors will take advantage and there is
nothing -- there is no accountability.

Somewhere, it has to be an accountability. We go to the City Council, but I don't know, I think you mentioned an education program that you have with the youth. I think definitely, some kind of education program, a broader education program is in order here, and maybe it will impact the Council at some point.

But I think we do have to take some action somewhere, because it does put the proprietor at a risk. I think most proprietors - all proprietors want to protect their license and their reputation in the community. I understand that.

So, I think we have a joint agreement

I think this Board is just as concerned as 1 2 you are, about the underage drinking. I certainly am, and I know all my colleagues are very 3 concerned about it, and so, that -- that is --4 that is something that we need to really work on 5 together, and I do agree that what I see here 6 7 also that right now, the warning is the only -you know, action that we can take right now, the 8 9 strongest action right now, a warning, but we do need to work on this, and as a new Board Member, 10 11 I, you know, pledge to do everything I can. 12 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you. 13 think that our Agency does some work with the

I do universities, yes, as far as education goes.

So, you know, that's the other side, and you know, this punishment and this education, if we can improve the education, that's a plus, I think.

MR. KLINE: I mean, I made use of that university program, which I've -- I'll find in there, which I think now, is very good, and very helpful, as far as it goes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The problem of course, in the 1 2 universities is that kids that aren't really interested in that, just don't pay attention to 3 it, and they don't show up. 4 MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: There has to be 5 some consequences for violating the law. 6 7 MR. PASCAL: George Washington University created -- the students themselves, 8 9 created a wine club. Apparently, some students from California wanted to be able to have 10 11 tasting, so they created a wine club there and Risa and I were actually invited to come talk to 12 13 them about ABC law, and I was impressed that they had a guard at the door, that did check ID, that 14 15 you couldn't get in there. 16 So, any university student under the -- and I was kind of impressed that it took 17 18 place. So --19 MS. HIRAO: Also, I'd like to maybe 20 give the Board a heads up about, you know, fake ID's and how advanced they are. 21

Do a Google search on YouTube.

22

You

will see amazing testimonials about a 1 2 manufacturer overseas and how they are fool-I have encountered several websites which 3 are attached as exhibits to several Council 4 Members for a Bill from last year. 5 There are websites and then people 6 7 will comment how great these ID's. Kids basically feel immune, and --8 9 MR. PASCAL: You know, perhaps if it 10 -- you know, Mr. Moosally tells me that he's 11 considering maybe sending down some proposed legislation, which obviously, he's discussed with 12 13 you, perhaps if this came from the Board, that could maybe sway some Council Members. 14 15 usually comes from the industry because we want 16 to tack it on to some legislation. But if the Board as a body said, "We 17 18 feel this is important," you know, we'll be 19 behind you, to try to see if that gets --20 I mean, just that --MR. KLINE: MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: All of us are 21 22 fully aware --

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: We are. 1 2 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: -- of just how serious a problem this is. This is one of the 3 reasons that we're -- we're trying to address 4 this from every angle, because this is a serious 5 problem and somewhere down the road, if we don't 6 7 do something and somebody wraps themselves around a tree, we're never going to forgive ourselves. 8 9 MR. PASCAL: Well, you know, that is 10 11 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Nor should any of 12 us. 13 MR. PASCAL: That's what started the keg law, if you remember. Fox Hole Liquor sold a 14 15 keg to an 18 year old girl who got killed out in 16 Fairfax County, and that's what started the keg law and as a matter of fact, the industry helped 17 18 the Board in getting that keg registration 19 legislation. 20 MR. KLINE: The last time we went at this, just so you know who was involved, it was 21

our Association, Restaurant Association

Metropolitan Washington, Paul's association, the 1 2 wholesalers, RRAP, the Washington Regional Alcohol Program, the nightlife association and I 3 know I've spoken to Assistant Chief Burk about 4 this many times, and they whole-heartedly support 5 it, and we still could not get any traction. 6 7 So, maybe Mr. Pascal is correct, if it comes from the Board, then we can all pile on and 8 9 nudge, nudge and maybe it will get further, I'm not sure, but I would certainly --10 11 MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Pascal? -- will try again. 12 MR. KLINE: 13 MEMBER SHORT: I'm very familiar with that liquor store where the keg law was passed on 14 15 Fox Hole Road. 16 Would you care to address -- would you consider that person who formerly owned that 17 18 business, was he egregious? 19 MR. PASCAL: Well, it -- that -- you 20 can look at your own record and get an answer on 21 that. 22 MEMBER SHORT: Okay, all right.

1	That's it. Just wanted to get that one on the
2	record.
3	MR. PASCAL: No, there is you have
4	quite a record about Fox Hole Liquor, so
5	MEMBER SHORT: Yes.
6	MR. PASCAL: So, you can look at your
7	own record and get your own answer on that.
8	MEMBER SHORT: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: All right, any
10	other questions? Okay, well, yes?
11	MS. FARLEE: I know you said the
12	hearing was about only 712.1, but the roundtable
13	on March 4th covered more the whole pub crawl
14	concept, and that's what my comments related to.
15	
16	So, I hope you will consider them in
17	the context of the whole 712.
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes, we will.
19	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Absolutely.
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: We have your written
21	comments.
22	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: There are more

1	comments than the pub crawl comments? Do you
2	have other comments than the pub crawl comments
3	or is that it?
4	MS. FARLEE: Pub crawl.
5	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, yes, we'll
6	definitely consider them.
7	MS. FARLEE: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, anything
9	else?
10	MS. FARLEE: Is there a deadline for
11	commenting on this now?
12	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yes, they are
13	you can submit written comments to us up until
14	4:00 p.m. on Friday, May 8th, 2015.
15	Well, thank you all for coming down.
16	MR. PASCAL: Thank you.
17	MR. KLINE: Thank you for having us.
18	CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay, so, that
19	concludes our morning hearings and we're going to
20	adjourn until 1:30 p.m.
21	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
22	went off the record at 12:40 p.m.)

\$1,000 21:18 **\$100** 33:2 **\$100,000** 28:10 **\$150** 33:2 **a.m** 3:2 abandonment 14:13 **ABC** 6:6 7:9,12,13 8:8 40:19 57:22 67:13 ability 42:21 62:22 able 18:2 24:16 28:18 67:10 above-entitled 72:21 **ABRA** 26:20 30:4 31:6 31:11,15,16 32:2 33:9 absence 29:1 31:21 absent 32:1 Absolutely 71:19 accomplish 36:17 account 7:21 16:1 accountability 65:8,10 act 4:2,5 14:11 33:16 60:11 action 25:2.7 27:5 56:21 59:12 65:17 66:8.9 actors 59:18 actual 59:12 add 9:11 39:1 added 55:4 additional 4:17 5:1 45:11 49:21 Additionally 4:3 address 4:8 6:11 16:7,8 19:17 31:7 45:10 69:4 70:16 addressed 13:13 19:6 38:14 adjourn 72:20 administrative 4:4 27:3 31:8 admit 29:16 adopted 3:15 advance 4:8.14 advanced 32:17 67:21 advancements 57:18 advantage 65:7 affect 32:18 affidavit 7:5 age 45:19,22 46:9,13 agencies 8:18,19 13:17 31:9,11,15 32:18 36:8 agency 4:7 13:21 14:16 15:4,12 20:5 25:4 30:11 38:5 40:1 66:13 Agency-issued 9:6 agent 39:17 agent's 8:15 28:7

agents 28:14,18 ago 42:3 43:18 61:14 agree 35:18 45:4 59:15 60:2 66:6
agreeing 43:16 agreement 43:17 65:22 ahead 43:15
Alberti 2:13 34:8,11,14 34:15 35:4,6,10,13,21 51:7,10 57:10 71:18 71:20
alcohol 5:6 6:1 41:13 54:9,22 57:15 70:3 alcoholic 1:3,17,18 4:1 44:14
Alexander 61:2 allow 8:8,21 27:10 33:12
allowed 21:5 33:20 52:17 59:3 allows 19:11 20:20 26:8
27:2 30:10 33:16,18 amazing 68:1 amended 3:19 amendment 1:8 3:5,20
4:2 33:16 amendments 3:15 6:4,8 amount 59:16
ANC 5:15,16 32:10 ANC's 33:12 Andrew 5:7 11:10,20
angle 69:5 annual 32:21 answer 70:20 71:7 anymore 20:22 21:7,9
21:14 26:13 28:19 40:3 58:16 60:20 anyway 44:19
Apparently 67:9 appear 58:22 62:7 appears 26:5,22
applaud 15:18 applicant 7:8,16 8:4 applicant's 7:17 applicants 7:11,14 8:2
15:3 application 7:9,12 8:3 10:1 16:11
applications 14:14 apply 24:4 40:19 appreciate 49:8
approaches 24:3 appropriate 43:13 44:22 APRIL 1:15
arbitrary 45:20 46:6 area 29:16 areas 13:16
aside 64:4

asked 15:6 45:2 51:2 60:8 assessed 22:8 assigned 43:10 Assistant 70:4 associate 38:15 associated 60:19 association 5:5,8 6:1,2 11:21 18:11,12 36:22 39:4 46:1 57:15 61:2 69:22,22 70:1,3 associations 6:10 32:18 assumes 33:8 assured 16:9 attached 68:4 attempt 16:21 42:8 48:17 attention 41:10 45:6 48:8 67:3 attorneys 63:10 audience 44:8 authority 19:12 32:2 authorize 29:5 aware 14:7,8 58:14 68:22

awkward 59:4 back 11:1,17 17:14 18:9 18:9 21:7,16 37:8,15 42:17,21 bad 48:2 59:18 **band** 28:5,7 **bar** 54:10 barking 59:21,22 bartender 54:3 based 6:9 29:17 31:20 39:13 55:11 64:9 **basic** 19:13,21,22 20:19 21:4,8 40:15 basically 26:15 28:17 68:8 basis 10:14 11:10 bear 60:18 beer 58:9 behalf 5:8 6:2 9:7 11:21 **believe** 3:7 12:8 16:20 20:9 45:1 **beneficial** 10:21,22 benefit 46:10 best 24:11 36:20 better 11:8 19:17 **Beverage** 1:3,17,18 4:1 5:5 6:1 57:15 **beverages** 6:22 44:15 beyond 7:17 **big** 28:18 56:5

bill 5:4 30:10 61:1.15 68:5 **bills** 26:7 Black 3:8 5:11,14 19:4 25:19 28:19 black-listed 28:13 **Board** 1:3,17 3:15 4:9 4:11,12,16,21 6:6,8 6:13 7:3,22 8:7 13:7 13:11,14,22 14:1,5,7 14:10 16:21 17:8,14 18:1,5,8,10,18,21 23:17,19 24:1 25:16 26:8 27:2,8 29:15 34:6,18 35:17 38:20 39:12 40:16 41:14 42:14 44:12 47:3.6 58:10 65:4 66:1,10 67:20 68:13,17 69:18 70:8 **Board's** 17:3 19:12 49:13 Boards 24:2 **body** 68:17 **book** 63:1 booked 28:9 **booking** 28:7,14,18 **books** 58:7 **bounced** 19:15 24:22 30:9 31:2 break 54:19 62:10,16 breaking 50:14 bringing 47:10 broader 65:14 **BROOKS** 2:12 **brought** 36:6,10 Building 1:19 bunch 44:11 burden 6:18 **Burk** 70:4 business 6:9 8:10 19:13,19,21 20:1,20 21:5,9 22:15 26:13,15 26:17,19 27:5,19 28:17 30:11 46:16 48:9 58:15,19 70:18 businesses 8:17 40:20 40:21 61:7 **bust** 55:19 56:2 **busted** 50:8 **busy** 45:6 **buy** 58:9 buyer 7:6

<u>C</u>

CA's 33:13 California 67:10 call 10:18,20 41:17 46:19

called 3:4 calling 4:6 campus 57:7 cancellation 30:7 card 58:8 care 56:4 70:16 careful 45:22 careless 62:14 carried 33:17 carry 33:16,18,20 case 14:5 18:6 25:8 46:12 47:19 54:4,13 54:16 cases 9:19 15:15 17:22 58:5 59:4 65:6 casual 12:12 Cat 3:8 5:11.14 19:4 25:19 28:19 catch-22 58:21 categories 26:12,14 caught 42:18 56:14 62:2 cause 18:8 25:1 31:17 31:18 caused 20:6 **causes** 18:14 cease 8:6 9:1 13:6 14:10 19:8 26:2,5 27:2,9,10,17,22 29:10 31:19 36:7 certain 41:8 44:22 55:10 61:16 certainly 11:18 42:18 44:2,21 60:11 66:2 70:10 certificate 30:16 **chain** 40:5 Chairman 25:15 Chairperson 1:20 2:11 3:3,12 5:17,20 11:15 11:19 12:4 19:1 25:12 25:17 32:4,6 34:4,6 34:10,13 36:2 40:6,12 43:8,20,22 45:9,14 49:7,10 52:7,10 53:1 53:5 56:7,12 57:9,11 59:14 63:5,8 64:2 65:2 66:12 69:1 71:9 71:19,22 72:5,8,12,18 challenged 29:8 challenges 6:16 **chance** 23:20 64:16 **change** 11:5 29:5,6 58:4 63:11 **changed** 23:3 37:1,2 60:9 changes 3:17,21,22 4:4 13:7,11 24:1 32:9 Chapters 3:21

charges 16:19 check 19:15 30:9 37:4 48:18 54:11 67:14 checking 48:11,15 49:1 **checks** 24:22 31:2 **chess** 53:20 chests 60:4 Chief 64:19 70:4 **China** 46:15 cigarettes 20:21 21:6,9 Cinco 55:4 circumstance 45:7 circumstances 7:16 8:13 17:1 23:15 41:11 45:3,4 citation 62:6 cite 56:11.12 58:2 cited 56:16 58:10 60:13 **city** 11:4 39:8,17 55:12 64:5,10 65:10 **civic** 6:10 **class** 57:2 **clean** 26:9 **clear** 20:17 25:8 33:19 35:17 44:16 45:8 46:1 clearly 26:12 clerical 20:5 **client** 36:20 clients 15:12 36:12,14 37:5 47:17,18 60:16 close 37:19,22 38:2 closed 26:15,18 30:11 30:12 closer 33:1 **closing** 37:18 closure 30:14,19 31:4 club 67:9,11 **clubs** 25:22 50:20 co-owner 25:19 Coalition 5:11,13 19:5 25:20 **code** 3:13 6:19 7:2 27:10,12,15 coincidentally 37:10 colleague 38:16 colleagues 66:3 college 45:17 Columbia 1:1 3:17 5:5 5:22 12:7,10 13:18 15:17 come 3:9 11:17 15:1 16:8 19:19 21:22 22:13 31:8 39:7,16

53:17 57:11,12 58:5

42:20 43:17 68:15

comes 17:20 40:9

coming 18:9 72:15

67:12

70:8

commendable 44:21 **comment** 3:14 6:3 11:14 32:8,19 36:1 63:7 68:7 **commenting** 6:7 72:11 comments 4:12 5:1 6:9 9:12 32:9,11,15 33:22 38:16 39:13 71:14,21 72:1,1,2,2,13 **committee** 5:16 32:10 48:14 51:17 **committing** 7:1 60:10 common 8:14 16:5 communications 16:10 **community** 59:17 65:20 company 9:20 comparable 32:22 compare 30:1 complete 57:20 **completely** 15:5 25:1 **compliance** 6:19 13:15 17:19 38:8 46:2 47:21 complicated 9:15 22:9 complications 7:20 **component** 41:6 43:2 48:4 composition 55:12 64:10 computer 39:10 58:7 concept 18:18 27:1 50:6 71:14 concern 57:18 61:6 concerned 17:17 39:5 66:1.4 concerns 6:13 9:10 19:6,17 42:16 concerted 61:14 concludes 72:19 conclusion 18:21 conduct 3:14 confiscates 60:3 conform 3:22 confusion 35:15 consequences 36:18 67:6 consider 4:16 7:22 23:16 24:5,7 70:17 71:16 72:6 considerations 38:19 considered 12:8 considering 9:8 68:11 constant 55:16 58:18 constantly 55:19 **consume** 41:13 consumer 6:21 consuming 47:10 consummation 10:22 contact 9:10

context 71:17 contractually 28:6 control 1:3,17,18 7:17 8:14 convenes 4:21 conversation 51:16 conversations 44:4,6 convinced 54:15 Coralie 5:15 corollary 3:22 **corporate** 7:20 39:16 corporation 39:19 **correct** 9:5 63:12,18 70:7 **Council** 11:4 18:13 31:22 42:2 48:14,21 54:6 55:12 60:8.19 61:1,13,16 62:17 63:11,12 64:6,10 65:10,15 68:4,14 Counsel 5:22 39:4 countervailing 15:4 County 58:1 69:16 couple 9:11 40:21 49:11 course 4:10 31:3 50:12 67:1 **Court** 62:7 covered 14:9 71:13 **CR** 33:15 **CR's** 33:18 crawl 32:8,21 33:14 71:13 72:1,2,4 crawls 33:13 34:17 created 67:8,9,11 creating 60:15 crime 60:10,10 criminal 54:12 56:21 62:7 criteria 33:6 cropped 27:4 CT 33:15,21 CT's 33:18 culprit 8:14 **cure** 8:9 current 49:5 64:9 currently 19:21 customers 39:6 64:22 **cut** 18:9

D

D.C 1:20 3:13 6:19 7:2 9:8 20:3 27:10,15 40:3 53:20 56:8 57:14 58:3 daily 32:22 damaging 28:12 Dante 5:10 19:2 25:21 30:17 36:4

contained 3:22 33:9

date 15:12 day 7:7,10 33:2 days 7:21 32:16 36:21 37:12 45:18 47:1,13 **DCABAW** 8:5 **DCBA** 9:7 **DCMR** 6:4,15 7:10,12 8:7 **DCRA** 20:4,8 21:1,10 22:2,10 24:15 37:2,4 **DDOT** 38:5 **de** 55:4 deadline 8:3 72:10 **deadlines** 7:13,15 **deal** 10:12 14:6 47:16 56:5 58:17 63:2 64:7 64:14 dealing 9:22 13:17 40:1 64:5 **deals** 9:14 49:5 **debate** 51:6 decided 21:8 22:21 42:3 deciding 24:8 50:21 decision 24:17 deemed 8:3 defendant 62:8 **defense** 51:15,19 52:19 52:20 **definitely** 65:13 72:6 definition 16:16,22 42:8 42:10 definitions 43:13 **delay** 8:16 delays 7:17,18 delisted 39:19 demonstrated 17:5 **department** 37:1 55:13 64:18 described 7:9,12 designated 16:7 designed 26:6 desire 4:8 desist 8:6 9:2 13:6 14:10 19:8 26:2.6 27:2,9,11,17,22 29:11 31:20 36:7 despite 58:9 detailed 16:11 determined 21:6 determining 16:17 difference 56:8 different 18:5 22:5 24:2 24:3 27:1 40:1,4 45:16 47:22 49:16 difficult 60:15 digging 28:20 **dining** 12:12 direction 18:11

director 17:12 41:18 54:21 disappointing 13:2 discipline 18:2 disconnect 30:2 discover 22:3 23:7 discovered 21:17 discretion 31:20 **discuss** 34:18 discussed 68:12 disposal 47:16 dispute 22:9 disruptions 8:9 distributing 44:9 **District** 1:1 3:17 5:5,22 8:18 12:7,10 13:18 15:17 53:17.18 diversion 56:22 division 24:16 divisions 22:13 documentation 7:9,11 9:5 documents 8:17 doing 17:8 43:6 45:13 64:16 dollars 15:16 **DONALD** 2:12 door 67:14 dragged 17:8 dragging 14:22 drastic 8:9 drink 52:14,16 53:8,18 54:8 59:7 62:9 drinking 55:6 56:15 66:2 driving 55:6 **dropped** 54:13 drunk 55:6 due 21:21 25:6,7 26:19 27:18 28:22 29:7 30:18 31:1 32:1 38:19

Ε

dues 21:16

duplicate 20:9

easy 34:12 44:17
eateries 12:12
echo 29:20
education 17:20 40:9
41:6,8,9 43:2 48:3
65:11,13,14 66:14,16
66:17
effect 11:8,12
effort 61:14
egregious 16:17,22
40:8 42:6,10 43:9
48:19 70:18
either 24:21 27:16 32:3
44:19

eliminating 36:17 eloquent 12:19 **email** 15:18 16:1,6 **emails** 16:2.7 **emergency** 4:2 29:1 **employee** 45:5 50:2 **employees** 41:3,4 48:1 49:20 enable 9:3 encountered 58:5 68:3 **ended** 62:5 endorse 26:3 ends 26:21 54:12 enforce 18:2 enforcement 31:12 59:12.16 ensure 4:20 6:18 entertain 4:12 entirely 27:1 entity 7:4,21 entity's 8:12,14 entrepreneurs 55:17 **equate** 30:14 errors 20:5 30:13 36:8 escalate 41:16 **especially** 8:10 11:9 28:15 36:4 58:13 63:9 established 31:7 establishment 20:3 44:14 47:10 56:15 establishments 12:12 13:19 33:14 42:5,11 everybody 11:17 50:22 evidence 17:5 evident 17:10 exactly 48:21 examiners 9:21 example 7:18 15:8 44:8 48:14 **examples** 20:7 36:11 36:13 excellent 12:18 38:17 exception 51:3 excuse 30:8 exemption 6:15,17 exhibits 68:4 exist 26:13 existing 34:2 **exists** 27:12 expand 16:21 42:8,9 48:17

elaborate 40:13

eligibility 33:7

60:2
experienced 64:5
experiences 18:5
expiration 8:20 19:14
expired 20:15 24:22
30:15,15 31:2 37:18
expiring 19:14
explain 24:13
explained 10:10 13:16
25:21
explaining 30:17 58:9
expunged 57:4
extension 8:2
extra 55:14
extreme 23:15

F face 8:17 facing 55:9 fact 12:19 35:18 69:17 facts 24:12 31:21 fail 18:15 failure 8:15,19 36:8,9 fair 42:4 **Fairfax** 69:16 fairly 22:1 fake 46:18 53:12 57:19 58:8,22 59:5,8 61:3,6 67:20 Fall 11:1 12:15 false 60:11 familiar 56:1 70:13 far 27:7 66:14,22 Farlee 5:15,15 32:6,7 34:5,16 35:3,5,8,12 35:20 71:11 72:4,7,10 February 3:15 Federal 42:20 fee 32:21.22 feel 9:10 47:12 52:21 59:6 68:8,18 feeling 49:13 feels 13:11 fees 21:19,20 22:8 feet 17:8 **fellow** 34:18 felt 64:15 Ferrando 5:10,10,12,12 19:2,3 21:4 25:14,15 25:18,18 32:5 36:4 49:9,11 51:8,12 52:5 52:12 55:18 56:17 58:20 59:15 62:19 fight 18:12 file 9:22 filed 4:20

expanding 19:11

expansion 16:16

expect 46:22 47:1

expectation 15:7

experience 22:1 24:2

expected 33:5

filing 10:3 15:13

filings 7:21

I	1	1	ı
filter 16:1,3		Habitat 54:14	ID'ing 51:14
final 9:20	game 53:21	hand 4:19 45:10 58:7	ID's 46:18 58:22 61:3,6
finally 8:5 10:8,15 11:3	General 5:22	handed 21:7	67:21 68:7
find 66:20	George 57:5 67:7	happen 37:6 38:3,4	idea 10:19 50:15 56:2
fine 12:11 41:7 47:14	getting 15:8 16:2,9 38:6	45:16 48:10 53:14	ideas 12:20
50:9 62:20	45:6 46:21 47:13 62:5	54:1 55:11 64:20	identification 48:11,15
first 6:14 10:6 16:18	69:18	happened 46:11	48:18
17:2,7 18:18 22:6		happens 39:22 48:6	identifying 6:16
36:5,6 42:6 43:5,9,10	ghee 46:9 girl 69:15	53:10	ignored 16:13
43:14 48:17	•	happy 18:20	illegal 52:18
first-time 49:12	give 7:4 20:7 36:19	hard 64:17	imbalance 54:17
five 4:10 9:19 14:20	40:16 51:13 53:12	harm 27:14 29:13 42:12	immediate 27:11,13
17:6,9 33:12 46:19	54:19 64:6 67:20	hashed 10:8	immediately 28:6,11
47:9 48:7	given 30:20 31:1 42:5	hauled 62:5,6	imminent 32:1
fix 49:14 50:5,12	42:19 44:18 48:16	headed 54:14	immune 68:8
fixed 15:2	52:3 58:4	heads 48:6 67:20	impact 65:15
fixes 24:18 36:15	gives 24:13	health 37:1,9,10,13,14	implement 26:7 29:19
fixing 49:18 50:15	go 10:4 11:11 17:14	39:5	implementing 29:15
flyers 44:9	22:3,5 38:1 40:2,3	hear 11:16 47:11	importance 17:19 40:9
focus 13:3 32:8	42:21 43:15 44:10	heard 4:14 12:1 13:13	important 6:8 15:20
focused 15:21	54:8 57:1 62:9,16 63:15 65:10	30:22 31:14 37:16	19:8 25:8 28:12,15
follow 29:11 31:6,9		40:7 54:5	43:3 50:16 53:4 64:22
36:9	goal 49:13	hearing 1:9,18 3:4,14	68:18
followed 21:15	goes 57:7 66:14,22	4:6,16,21 6:6 25:1	importantly 29:6
following 6:13	going 11:7 15:2 16:6 22:21 23:12 34:8,20	27:8 31:17,18 71:12	impose 7:13
food 12:3	•	hearings 72:19	imposes 29:2
fool 68:2	36:11,12 38:1 40:5	HECTOR 2:14	impressed 67:13,17
forgive 69:8	42:15,17 46:22 47:7 47:11 48:6 50:11	held 19:22	impression 40:16 41:19
forgot 54:11		helped 63:13 69:17	improve 66:17
form 25:6	53:21,22 57:6 58:12	helpful 66:22	inadequate 24:17
formerly 70:17	60:12 64:12,20 69:8 72:19	HERMAN 2:17	inclined 59:19
forth 8:1	good 5:7,19,20 9:3	Hey 41:10	include 4:11 24:8,9
fortunately 54:13	10:16,19 11:20 19:14	Hi 19:2	33:12,14
forward 3:9 16:10 58:12	22:14 23:9,20 25:15	high 44:9,11 55:3 64:19	included 18:13 37:9
found 14:10 39:18 47:9	25:17 26:4 30:16 39:5	Hirao 57:8,14,14 60:22	50:18
four 14:20 19:21 46:17	39:11 45:10 46:18	61:11 67:19	including 8:21
fourth 42:9 45:13	50:13 54:16 59:5	hold 29:8 39:12 57:19	incorporated 13:1
Fox 69:14 70:15 71:4	64:16 66:21	holder 8:22	16:13
frame 27:15 29:4	Google 67:22	hole 28:21 69:14 70:15	Increase 32:21 33:3,6
frames 13:21 15:3	gotten 15:10	71:4	individuals 4:17
Fred 10:18	Government 26:14,15	holograms 57:20	industry 55:5 68:15
free 9:10 55:8	27:4 42:20	hope 71:16	69:17
frequently 22:10	Governmental 29:2	hospitality 12:9	infer 44:12
Friday 72:14	Graham's 51:16	host 22:12	infinite 42:2
friend 46:15	grant 42:20	hostility 17:4,10 18:17	information 7:5 24:15
front 14:21 28:8 37:21	granted 4:9	huge 30:1	25:3 39:11
59:16	granting 4:16	Humanity 54:14	informed 21:19 23:5
frustrating 15:14 62:18	great 12:20 38:11 68:7	humble 38:12	24:17
65:5	group 25:21 50:2	hundred 15:16	infrequent 22:1
frustration 38:6	groups 6:9	hundreds 55:2 60:5	infrequently 23:14
frustrations 14:16	guarantee 57:4		infringes 29:7
full 28:5 31:21 47:14	guard 67:14		initial 38:9
60:4	guess 11:4 14:1	ID 44:18,18,20 45:2	initially 6:7 22:22 50:22
fully 16:9 68:22	guy 12:20	49:1 50:21,22 51:2,5	inordinately 14:19
functions 40:2	guys 23:12 24:6 25:2,6	52:3,15 53:12 54:11	insert 34:22
funding 42:19	55:22 59:6	56:3 57:19 58:21 59:2	inspection 7:19 37:9,10
further 10:12 15:21		59:8,9 60:11 67:14	37:14,15
70:9	H	ID'ed 51:18	inspector 37:16
future 23:22			instance 22:15 45:19
II	•	•	•

instances 8:10 56:22 63:2 65:13 legislatively 55:10 maintains 15:22 institute 33:3 67:17 length 14:17 61:5 **making** 55:16 instructions 18:16 kinds 12:13 lengthening 7:22 malt 6:22 **Kline** 3:6,11 5:7,8 11:19 leniency 46:22 intended 29:19 **manage** 47:20 intending 49:15 11:20,21 12:5 26:3 **let's** 3:6 5:2 managers 41:4,5 48:1 intent 35:6 36:19 40:7.11.14 **Letter** 60:14 mandatory 17:6 18:10 intentionally 49:4 62:10 manufacturer 68:2 43:19,21 44:1 45:12 **level** 10:5.6 interest 21:19 22:8 29:2 45:15 52:2,9 53:3,6 liable 28:7 March 71:13 56:10,14 60:17 61:10 license 8:22 9:17 14:4 marijuana 56:19 63:3 interested 4:13,22 67:3 61:12,20 62:1 63:16 16:11 19:12,13 20:10 mark 54:8 interests 12:9 63:21 66:19 68:20 20:13,15,16,20 21:5,7 marketing 44:7 interpret 24:6 69:20 70:12 72:17 21:9,13,18,20 22:4,5 Maryland 53:19 56:8,10 intervened 37:20 **know** 10:1,2,18,22 22:6,7 23:8,10 25:5 57:16 58:1 introduce 57:12 14:20 15:9 17:13 18:7 matter 1:7 41:11 69:17 27:19 30:7,15 33:1,15 introduced 61:1 20:10 23:12 25:20 36:21 37:3,17 39:11 72:21 29:15 38:14 39:2,9,21 introductions 5:3 40:3 65:19 max 47:1,13 investigators 42:17 40:20.21 41:15.22 licensed 20:3 Mavo 55:4 44:10 57:17,22 42:19 43:14,15 44:21 licensee 8:8 24:13 mean 14:3,19 17:9 investing 15:15 45:6,21 46:17,22 48:4 49:14.15 50:7 30:19 38:11 39:22 **invited** 67:12 48:16 50:4,9,10,18 licensees 16:18 17:1 41:18 43:16 44:1,2 involved 69:21 51:22 53:5,11,22,22 18:3 39:8 55:8 45:15,21 46:1,3 47:8 involving 7:20 36:14 54:6,18,19,21 55:19 licenses 8:18,21 9:6 47:15,17 48:11,12 56:5,22 57:4 60:5 **irreparable** 27:14 29:13 19:22 20:1,9,11 24:22 50:8 53:10,11 54:17 **issuance** 8:6 9:1 61:15 62:2,2,5,12 26:9,10 31:2,10 33:15 60:2 66:19 68:20 **issue** 9:15 13:5 14:15 64:3,4,6,8,11,12 65:6 licensing 6:14 10:14 means 47:22 53:20 65:7,11 66:3,8,11,15 22:13 24:16 39:3 40:2 meeting 1:5 51:17 15:22 27:2,9 36:6 42:14 54:20 55:14 66:16 67:20 68:9,10 life 60:14 member 2:12,13,14,15 61:3,11 64:17,20 68:18 69:9,21 70:4 line 23:3 40:4 2:16,17 9:18 19:4 issued 20:8,11 27:11 71:11 lines 31:19 21:2 34:8,11,15 35:4 **issues** 6:11 13:3,15 knowledge 39:14 liquor 20:3 69:14 70:14 35:6,10,13,21 36:3 39:14,16,17 55:9 **known** 8:12 46:7,8 71:4 51:7,10 57:10 59:20 53:15,15 list 30:13 58:11 64:13 60:21 61:2,17,18,22 knows 41:14 listening 65:5 63:4,6,9,14,17,22 live 5:11,13 19:4 25:20 65:3 66:10 67:5 68:21 **JAMES** 2:16 25:22 28:1 69:2,11 70:11,13,22 **LLC** 9:17,18 71:5,8,18,20 Jeanette 4:19 lack 30:16 **LLC's** 9:14,14,16,19 members 12:2,3,6,10 jeopardize 61:6 ladder 50:11 Jim 51:16 language 8:21 29:11,21 **load** 64:12 17:19 25:16 34:18 local 6:9 41:13 46:2 57:2 60:19 job 12:18 60:16 29:21 30:12 33:9 joint 65:22 located 12:6 68:5.14 lapse 8:12 long 14:19 20:17 28:21 mentioned 65:11 **JONES** 2:17 **Lastly** 16:15 met 1:18 64:8 judgment 23:17 24:11 51:6,15 late 30:8 longer 26:10,10 metro 37:21 **laundry** 30:13 Κ law 27:19 29:16 32:12 look 42:22 45:16 70:20 Metropolitan 5:9 11:22 40:19 41:11 42:4 71:6 70:1 **Katherine** 5:12 19:16 44:15 47:21 49:5 looking 49:18 65:6 **MIKE** 2:15 25:18 looks 57:20 Miller 1:20 2:11 3:3,12 50:14,18 51:19 52:12 keep 17:15 18:8 32:13 52:17 56:5 60:8 62:10 loose 26:20 5:17,20 11:15,19 12:4 39:9 **keeps** 54:6 62:16 63:12 67:6,13 loss 9:2 19:1 25:12,17 32:4,6 lost 28:2,4 46:3 34:4,6,10,13 36:2 keg 69:14,15,16,18 69:14,17 70:14 40:6,12 43:8,20,22 lot 14:8 17:22 18:7 26:4 laws 40:19 52:5 70:14 28:2 40:18,22 59:18 45:9,14 49:7,10 52:7 **kid** 59:13 62:4 layers 9:19 59:18,19 52:10 53:1,5 56:7,12 kids 53:21 54:7,8,18 lays 26:11 lure 44:13 leads 41:9 55:14 57:9,11 59:14 63:5,8 55:15 56:14 57:19 62:2,3,3,9,15 67:2 64:2 65:2 66:12 69:1 left 4:15 39:17 М legal 19:17 71:9,19,22 72:5,8,12 68:7 72:18 mail 37:5,6,15 **killed** 69:15 legally 51:13

maintain 9:4

legislation 64:8 68:12

68:16 69:19

kind 13:19 27:8 52:22

millions 15:16

mind 60:19

penalty 29:3 61:7

minds 40:17 **minimum** 30:21 minor 16:19 17:7 49:16 52:8,11 minors 17:2,11,18 40:14 43:7 46:12 58:13 65:7 minutes 4:10 mirror 29:21 mirroring 20:11 misleading 41:20 missing 50:17 **mission** 64:16 mistake 20:10 50:3,5 **Mister** 61:10 misunderstandings 25:9 misunderstood 46:4 **modify** 33:10 mom 41:1 money 55:5 Montgomery 58:1 months 22:11 28:9 37:7 37.7 **Moosally** 10:9 68:10 morning 5:7,19,20 11:20 25:15,17 72:19 move 16:10 23:21 Municipal 3:18 music 25:20,22 28:1 myriad 13:17

Ν

N.W 1:19 name 22:20,22 23:1 36:11 39:15 narrow 16:22 narrows 42:11 near 58:16 necessarily 42:10 necessary 10:4 13:12 32:20 need 10:2,12 14:2 16:10,15 17:14 21:6 30:21 31:11 38:7,21 39:8 45:22 55:15 66:5 66:10 needed 20:21 23:4,6 31:10 37:8 needs 29:21 31:6,16 38:13 50:4 negligent 62:8,15 **never** 10:1 21:15,19 37:16 42:1 69:8 new 13:4 25:21 26:5 27:16 29:20,22 34:22 43:13 52:6 65:3 66:10 newer 28:16

nice 52:20 **NICK** 2:13 night 28:3 48:2 nightclubs 12:13 nightlife 70:3 nightmare 10:13 nine 22:17 28:9 Nine-hundred-fifty 12:5 non-serious 43:5 normally 47:2 notation 37:8 **notice** 7:5 8:8 27:8 30:19,21 31:14,16 notices 8:16 notification 21:16 notifications 32:17 notified 4:7 22:2.16 **notify** 8:20 36:9 November 6:6 **nudge** 70:9,9 number 3:21 13:1 20:4 42:11 48:1 60:16

O

obtaining 8:17 **obviously** 20:13,16 40:15 44:19 49:15 51:3 68:12 occasion 8:19 occasions 41:19 occupancy 30:16 occur 8:13 occurring 39:14 offenders 43:1 offense 16:18 17:2,7 18:19 43:5 47:6 49:12 offenses 33:8 42:6 office 9:10 37:20 58:6 officer 53:13 Official 3:13 6:19 7:2 oh 54:7 62:21 okay 3:3 5:2,17 11:15 11:19 34:6 43:8 45:17 49:7 51:3,4 53:1,21 57:9 63:5 64:2 70:22 71:8,10 72:5,8,18 old 26:9 62:4 69:15 older 56:3 59:2 olds 46:7 omnibus 4:1 26:7 30:10 once 48:9 one-quarter 22:17 ones 43:14 48:15 54:22 open 4:22 53:16 opened 22:21 23:20 operate 19:3 operating 20:14 operations 8:10 9:4

operator 50:13 54:3 operator's 40:17 opinion 38:12 43:12 55:12 **opportunity** 6:3 24:13 25:10 30:22 31:14 32:19 **opposed** 30:12 order 8:7 9:2 27:9,22 30:22 31:19 65:14 orderly 39:9 orders 27:3,11 organizations 48:5 original 13:4 33:9 originally 52:13 outstanding 26:9 overseas 68:2 oversight 8:11 overstated 17:21 overstep 19:10 owned 9:18 20:2 70:17 owner 10:5 50:4 58:15 **owners** 19:3

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 p.m 72:14,20,22 page 37:21 paid 21:12 palpable 17:4 **panel** 63:10 part 25:19 28:13 43:6 participants 33:19 particular 28:2 30:5 particularly 46:15 parties 4:13 5:1 partner 19:16 parts 24:21 Pascal 3:7 5:3,4,4,18,19 5:21 11:18 39:1 53:6 54:20 56:20 58:14 60:18 63:13 64:3 67:7 68:9 69:9,13 70:7,11 70:19 71:3,6 72:16 pass 46:7 51:5,14 passed 48:13 70:14 Patriot 60:11 patrons 33:4 Patterns 43:20,22 Paul's 70:1 pause 35:22 pay 28:5,8,11 41:10 paying 45:6 48:8 payment 30:8 penalize 7:16 penalties 22:8 41:14

people 15:15 38:7 44:3 44:13 45:16,18 46:20 47:9 49:22 55:19 58:22 61:8 62:12 68:6 Performance 5:11,13 19:5 period 8:1 9:1 15:6 17:6 23:11 periodically 39:10 periods 14:16 permanent 23:1 **person** 6:21 45:1 46:10 50:2,19 51:4,8,12,15 51:17 58:9 70:17 petitioned 62:19 63:11 **phone** 10:18 photocopied 37:14 pick 10:17 45:21 46:8 pile 70:8 **pistol** 33:16 pistols 33:17 placards 14:18,18 15:8 15:13 **place** 44:10 46:19 67:18 places 6:17 41:22 42:22 44:22 45:22 47:19 60:4 please 4:19 **pledge** 66:11 plus 66:17 point 12:14 18:16 20:8 22:7 26:17 38:18 47:4 50:17 53:3 65:15 points 15:19 26:4 32:16 police 42:21 55:13 56:17 62:20 64:18,19 policy 44:20,21 poor 54:3 poorly 50:1 **pop** 41:2 **position** 14:6 59:5 potential 24:18 potentially 22:5 24:15 25:4 powerless 14:11 practiced 29:17 practicing 64:4 practitioners 11:9 preface 32:11 premises 41:13 prepared 4:18 12:14,17 37:19 present 2:9 32:12 presents 6:15 preserve 9:3 President 5:21 presiding 1:21 pressure 55:16 58:18

pretty 17:10,13,16 **provision** 19:9 24:12 recommendations 9:8 resolve 22:11 10:16 45:11 40:15 41:15,16,21 26:2,6 27:16,17 29:14 resources 42:16 47:15 44:16 45:8 50:16 recommends 8:5 62:22 29:20,22 31:17 respect 13:6 14:13 prevent 8:9 **provisions** 23:13 35:1 record 4:22 21:1,10,11 previous 37:11 43:11 **pub** 32:8,21 33:13,14 57:3 60:22 70:20 71:2 34:17 previously 6:5 43:4 34:17 71:13 72:1.2.4 71:4.7 72:22 responsibility 47:20 principle 12:9 **public** 1:8 3:14 6:6 records 54:8 responsible 55:6 27:14 29:13 32:2 Reeves 1:19 rest 34:21 35:2,14,16 prior 8:6 probably 19:16 28:20 publically 9:20 refer 24:22 35:19 54:5 59:18 publish 11:3 referring 25:5 restaurant 5:8 11:21 **problem** 10:11 13:9,12 published 11:1 refund 28:4 12:2,2 14:4 20:9,15 22:3 24:20 25:5 38:9 **punish** 49:14 **regarding** 4:8 40:8 20:16 36:21 37:3.17 punished 53:9 38:13,15,21 40:5 42:1 **Regional** 54:21 70:2 50:20 69:22 punishment 66:16 register 4:14 50:1,5,12 60:1 67:1 restaurants 12:6 48:5,8 69:3,6 purchase 61:8 registered 8:15 32:18 restore 8:22 purposes 16:17 restrictions 15:4 problems 13:14 18:1 39:17 21:22 27:3 31:8 36:7 pursuant 3:13 registration 22:20.22 result 8:13 30:5 31:3 retailer 58:3,4,6 61:9 49:14,19 50:16 **pursue** 54:16 23:2 39:16 69:18 procedural 26:19 27:20 push 14:20 61:16 64:17 regs 19:10 51:20,22 retain 35:17 29:5,7 31:1,4 32:1 **put** 11:8 15:2 19:5 52:21 retained 34:3 50:1 28:14 37:15 46:16 regular 10:14 11:10 revenue 9:3 28:3,4 **procedures** 31:7 49:21 51:21 52:18 54:7,22 regularly 23:4,6 24:1 review 11:4 32:19 **proceed** 11:16 58:16 59:4 61:8 65:17 regulate 13:18 revisit 6:13 proceeding 54:12 putting 54:2,10 Regulation 4:1 revocation 30:7 process 8:1 10:15 regulations 3:18 9:14 revoked 14:4 14:22 25:6,7 26:19 Q 10:9 24:4,6,8 26:6 right 15:2 27:18 28:10 27:18 29:1,7 30:18 qualified 6:17 38:11,12 29:7 35:20,21 38:5 31:1 32:1 38:19 39:9 question 14:1 44:2 60:7 related 4:4 71:14 49:7,20 53:2 63:16,22 **questions** 4:11 9:9 processes 31:10 relative 33:7 64:20 66:7,8,9 70:22 program 39:10 54:22 11:17 18:21 34:7 relevant 23:10 71:9 55:4 56:22 57:6 65:12 71:10 rely 41:3,3,4 **rights** 32:1 65:13,14 66:20 70:3 Risa 57:14 67:12 **quickly** 41:16 relying 24:14 programs 57:3 quite 10:1 15:6 71:4 remain 4:22 34:21 risk 27:13 54:3 61:9 prohibitions 33:13 remarks 4:18 26:3 65:18 prohibits 6:20 33:17,18 R remember 69:14 risks 32:2 road 69:6 70:15 promoter 33:19 reminded 53:7 raised 6:11 26:4 53:7 reminders 22:18 **proof** 7:4 68:3 **Rodriguez** 2:14 43:10 61:2.11 **proper** 49:20 **RAMW** 12:1,8 renew 20:12 22:4,16 61:18,22 65:2,3 67:5 properly 4:20 18:2 renewal 21:12 22:18 roll 48:6 random 37:13 23:8 36:21 37:4 rookie 65:4 21:11 range 12:11 property 27:20 59:10 renewed 20:13 22:14 **Room** 1:19 **RDO** 33:4 23:5.6 roster 28:8 **propose** 24:19 read 12:19 32:10 proposed 3:16,19 6:3,7 renumber 35:2,19 round 43:9 readily 29:16 6:14 7:7,10,15 9:13 repeat 21:2 43:1 rounds 43:11 reading 3:9 12:16 29:17 10:9 12:16,17 14:9 repeatedly 42:18 roundtable 71:12 real 10:5,13 57:20 58:2 16:16 19:10 26:22 replace 32:13 35:7,9,10 **RRAP** 70:2 59:9 28:22 32:9,14,17 replaced 33:11 46:17 rulemaking 1:9 3:4,5,16 real-world 36:10,13 68:11 really 10:5,20 13:10 representing 5:4 3:19,20 4:3 12:16,17 proposes 13:7 14:11 29:3 38:17 represents 16:20 13:2,4 16:14 17:9 proprietor 65:18 reputation 65:20 18:14,14 26:22 27:7 39:20 50:7 55:7,14 proprietors 65:18,19 request 8:2 28:22 29:10,18 30:9 56:4,20 60:21 62:21 requesting 6:12 30:13 32:14 35:2 prosecutors 54:15 64:15 66:5 67:2 require 7:3 25:1,4 36:15 58:13 protect 7:1 65:19 reason 14:12 44:22 required 23:8 27:18 rules 6:7 31:12 39:20 protected 9:2 reasons 69:4 protections 27:21 requirement 27:6 33:4 run 40:20 47:21 57:6 received 36:20 37:3,7 **running** 19:19 protest 32:20 requirements 29:12 recognizes 27:17 **prove** 44:5 33:7 37:2 40:18,22 Ruthanne 1:20 2:11 recommendation 34:19 provide 8:8 31:16 32:19 requires 22:6 27:13 35:16 S **provided** 30:18 31:13 resistence 64:9

П
SA's 33:13
safer 59:19
safety 32:2
sale 7:4 16:18 17:2,11
17:18 40:14 43:7
62:13
sales 58:13
sanctioned 53:13
saying 32:11 54:7 60:12
says 13:22 15:12 35:9
35:10,13 47:6
Scarlet 60:13
scary 50:8
scheduling 7:19
school 44:9,11 54:11
55:3
scream 15:10
search 13:8 67:22
season 53:16
SEC 10:3
second 7:7 10:5 22:6
24:10 47:6,8 58:4
Secondly 14:13
section 9:13 26:7,8
27:12,13 30:3 32:8
34:2 35:2,14,19 37:21
see 3:6 11:10 24:3 27:7
29:4 30:1 35:1,3
42:12 46:5 52:21
54:17 55:20,22 62:2
66:6 68:1,19
seek 53:8 62:9
seeking 56:20
seeks 9:4
seen 9:18 46:14
sees 53:13
seizing 26:9
seizure 26:7 27:16
29:20 30:3,5,10
sell 20:20 21:5,9 44:19
45:4 49:16 52:13
62:13
selling 6:20
send 37:5 42:16 59:17
sending 68:11
sense 11:12 16:5
sensible 11:6
sent 37:4
serious 6:15 58:11 61:3
69:3.5
serve 52:3,16
serves 44:3 50:2,2
service 15:18 16:6
serving 52:8,11
set 8:1 31:21 44:13
settlement 47:5,7
seven 34:2 35:11 37:6,7
47:11 48:7 61:14
she'll 4:20
II

ah a ak 57,7 50,47
shock 57:7 59:17 shoot 64:11
Short 2:16 43:11 63:6,8
63:9,14,17,22 70:11
70:13,22 71:5,8
show 25:1 28:14 31:17
31:18 38:7 67:4
shut 26:20
side 64:22 66:15 signed 3:7 37:4
significant 6:18 29:3
signify 30:17
signing 7:4
Silverstein 2:15 21:2
36:2,3 59:14,20 60:21
61:12 63:4 68:21 69:2
69:11
similar 22:12
simply 14:6 17:21 45:5 62:8
single 9:18 41:1
sister's 56:3 59:2
situation 18:8 20:18
24:14 46:13 48:20
49:17 50:19,20 58:10
58:21 59:11 60:15
situations 14:9 18:19 19:13,18 22:12,19
47:5,16
six 32:16 47:19,22 48:7
61:14
small 56:19 59:16
smaller 28:16
smoked 56:18
sober-ride 55:1,4 sold 33:5 41:12 44:14
58:15,19 69:14
solely 24:15
solid 64:9
solution 13:8
solve 24:19 38:10
somebody 3:8 44:3
56:2 59:5,7 69:7
somebody's 59:9 someone's 39:11
sorry 31:18 52:16
sort 14:11 21:16 26:20
45:20
sound 23:18
spam 16:1,3
speak 4:10 19:7 25:11
57:17
speaking 17:12 specific 24:14 36:10
38:13 42:15
specifically 30:4
spells 30:4

spend 57:1,2

spends 55:5

spoken 41:18 70:4 spokesperson 12:9 staff 14:17 47:4,7 **standard** 15:9,9 40:8 **standing** 9:3 19:14 22:14 23:10 30:17 39:6,12 **start** 5:2,18 34:8,11 started 10:22 45:17 69:13.16 state 38:8 47:1 **status** 8:12,22 statute 19:11 26:11 29:12,14,18,22 30:3 **statutory** 29:4,22 **stayed** 47:13 stealing 59:9 **steep** 41:15 stepping 31:15 **steps** 31:4 **stop** 40:2 **store** 41:1 58:14 70:14 **story** 62:4 strange 22:19 58:20 **Street** 1:19 strictly 41:1 **strike** 50:10 **strikes** 50:11 strong 29:1 strongest 66:9 strongly 8:5 structured 9:16 structures 9:16 stuck 54:18 **student** 67:16 students 44:11 67:8,9 stymied 55:7 submission 7:22 **submit** 5:1 7:8,11 10:2 47:17 72:13 **submitted** 32:7,9,15 subsequently 6:8 successors 23:18 suddenly 39:18 sufficient 7:5 **suggested** 34:1 36:15 suggestion 11:11 summarizing 12:18 supplemental 7:11 supplier 12:3 support 70:5 supporting 7:8 **suppose** 22:16 53:12 supposedly 53:11 sure 13:12 34:13 35:22 36:19 42:22 46:16 49:10 51:20 70:10

spoke 14:14

surprised 55:20 surrendered 20:19 21:11 suspend 19:12 25:2 31:10 suspended 14:4 suspends 28:22 suspension 19:20 30:6 31:3 sway 68:14 sympathy 62:4 system 17:13,15 41:20

table 57:12 tablecloth 12:11 tack 68:16 take 3:14 11:7 18:20 25:2,6 31:22 58:21 59:8 64:7 65:7,16 66:8 taken 24:2 27:5 31:5 takes 10:15 14:17,18

takes 10:15 14:17,18 22:10 talk 16:15 19:18 26:1 35:14 36:5 67:12 talked 43:4 48:12.21 49:4 64:18,19 talking 11:5 48:22 tasting 67:11 taverns 12:13 technical 1:8 3:5,20 6:3 technically 28:6,11 59:3 technological 57:18 tell 15:11 39:15 58:2 64:15 tells 68:10

temporary 28:1 33:1 ten 47:9 48:8 terms 13:21 14:16 17:8 17:11 38:1 testified 6:5 61:5 testify 3:7 39:7 testimonials 68:1 testimony 12:15,18

13:14 16:12 18:22 19:6 38:9 40:7 **thank** 3:11 6:2 9:7

18:20 19:1 25:10,12 25:14 32:4,5 34:4,5 35:20 36:3,3 63:4 66:12 72:7,15,16,17

thing 22:20 24:10 28:1 33:10 48:12 54:2 57:21

things 11:5,6,9 15:3 23:15,21 32:12 34:1 36:14 38:3 40:4,21

49:12.21.22 think 10:12 11:15 15:19 15:21 17:10 19:7,10 20:21 24:19 25:7 26:4 29:6,8 32:12 35:8 37:20 38:17.18.20 42:2 43:2,3,6 44:11 45:7,10 46:18 48:2,17 49:5,21 50:6,15 52:2 56:21 58:11 59:1 61:1 61:4 62:10 63:21 65:11,12,16,18,22 66:1,13,18,21 thinking 15:20 thinks 10:21 17:14 third 16:21 42:8,9 43:17 45:13 thought 20:14 38:12,17 thousand 15:16 thousands 55:3 60:5 three 13:3 19:6 24:18 26:12 43:16 46:12 ticket 28:4 56:18,19 63:1 tickets 33:5 62:20 tighten 33:6 time 4:15,17 6:12 8:8 9:1 10:16,19 12:1 13:16,21 14:14,16,17 15:2,7,19,22 20:17 21:17 22:18 23:11 28:21 41:2 45:13,13 46:21,21 47:8 48:16 48:17 57:1 61:15 69:20 timely 8:16 times 10:17 19:22 20:4 22:15,17 54:4 70:5 tinkering 17:15 Title 3:17,21 6:4 today 3:12 4:22 11:2 told 17:12 22:22 54:4 55:18 62:21 tool 46:5 tools 47:3 totally 32:13 50:17 59:15 touch 38:1 tough 59:11 traction 70:6 trade 22:20,22 23:1 39:15 traded 9:20 traditional 12:11 train 55:2 trained 50:1 training 49:19 57:3 transmit 8:15 tree 59:21 69:8

tremendous 38:6 tried 61:8 trigger 19:20 39:19 triggered 51:14 trouble 16:2 50:9 51:18 55:20 56:1 59:7 troubling 15:14 try 49:14 50:5,12 59:19 68:19 70:12 trying 36:16 38:10,22 58:9 59:13 64:7 69:4 turn 31:11 turned 12:21 58:8 two 20:11,15 22:4 23:15 26:14 30:1 41:1 43:18 48:22 49:22 tying 26:20 **type** 19:18 types 21:22 36:14

ultimately 14:3 31:3 unaware 18:4 unclear 14:12 29:13 underage 44:4,8,13 45:1 46:20 47:9 53:8 53:9.16 55:7 56:15 58:8 60:9 62:14 66:2 underlying 9:5 **understand** 13:10,22 17:17 18:17 35:15 63:14 65:21 understanding 17:3 34:20 36:16 understood 10:8 **uneven** 13:19 unfair 15:5 unforeseen 7:20 unfortunate 18:15

U

unintended 7:2 36:17 unintentional 8:11 universities 60:20 66:14 67:2 university 57:5 58:17 66:20 67:8,16 **unlicensed** 6:21 7:3 unrealistic 7:13

unfortunately 6:10

12:22 38:4 61:4

urge 37:5 **urging** 36:22 use 24:7 26:11 66:19 useful 24:11

unreasonably 7:15

uses 29:10 30:12 **usually** 22:2 28:9 51:13

55:22 68:15

valid 20:16 valuable 32:13 venue 28:1 venues 12:13 33:21 versus 33:17 view 31:6 violate 42:18 violating 67:6 violation 7:2 20:6 30:5 41:7,8 44:15 52:4,13 63:2 **violations** 31:8 42:6 43:5 violator 59:13 Virginia 53:19 56:8,10

57:16,22

٧

W wake 41:17 46:19 walk 52:14 **Walker** 4:19 walking 55:8 want 13:3 16:3 17:18 21:13 32:11 34:9 36:5 40:15,16 45:22 46:2,3 54:7 56:22 57:12 62:1 65:19 68:15 wanted 35:22 67:10 71:1 wanting 55:13 **wants** 46:1 Ward 61:16,22 warning 17:12,15,20 40:10 41:9,16 42:1,13 46:10,18,21 47:6 48:3 49:3 50:6,7,9 55:15 64:21 66:7,9 warnings 16:18 17:2,4 17:7 18:10,18 41:20 42:5.12 43:4 46:5 **Washington** 1:20 5:9 11:22 54:21 57:5 67:7 70:1.2 wasn't 22:20 43:9 54:16 waves 59:17 way 9:22 11:4,8,16 24:3 27:11 28:20 30:14.18 35:5 39:2 50:22 52:12 52:16 54:10 ways 45:17 **we'll** 11:16,16 35:18 68:18 72:5 we're 3:12 11:2,5 15:2 17:17 28:8 35:18 38:9 40:1 42:7 45:13 47:7

47:10,12 48:22 54:18

55:19 56:20 59:3

62:21 64:5 69:4.4.8 72:19 we've 19:5,22 22:14,15 22:16 43:3 44:4,6 46:7,8,14 48:12 49:4 51:5.14 53:7 55:18 62:19 **weapons** 33:20 weather 28:20 **websites** 68:3,6 Wednesday 18:6 weeks 14:21 22:10 went 20:12 61:4,13 69:20 72:22 weren't 43:11 white 12:11 white-list 16:8 whole-heartedly 70:5 **wholesalers** 5:6 6:1,16 6:18,20 7:1 9:9 39:4,7 57:15 64:17 65:1 70:2 wife 45:17 willful 44:1,12,16 willfully 44:3 62:13,13 62:16 window 7:7,10 wine 6:21 67:9,11 wisdom 42:2 wise 23:18 wish 4:14 withdrawn 8:4 witness 4:9 witnesses 4:7 woman 54:9.14 wording 35:8 words 37:20 work 27:15 28:18 29:4 41:5,21 55:14 66:5,10 66:13 worked 43:5,7 working 54:10 works 17:13,16 worse 49:2 worth 11:13 wouldn't 21:8 23:7 35:4 wraps 69:7 write 56:17 62:20 63:13 written 4:18 12:15 17:4 17:7 19:5 32:7 33:22 42:5 52:13,17 71:20 72:13

wrong 59:21 Χ **x** 48:1

year 11:7,12 33:2 43:18

46:7 62:4 65:4 68:5	5
69:15	500 12:6
years 17:6,9 20:2,15	
21:15 22:4 42:3 60:6	500.2 7:10
61:14,21	500.3 7:12
young 54:9	6
youngster 57:5	6 5:16
youngsters 55:3,8	60 32:16
58:18	606 9:13
youth 65:12	333 3.13
YouTube 67:22	7
	712 34:2,21 71:17
Z	
	712.1 35:13 71:12
0	
	8
1	800 12:2
	808.1 8:7 19:20 20:6
1,900 39:8	23:13 24:21
1:30 72:20	8th 72:14
102(a) 7:3	
11:35 3:2	9
12 22:15	950 12:3
12:40 72:22	330 12.3
13th 6:6	
14 46:7	
1440.7 14th 1:19	
15 7:10	
16 45:17 46:11	
17 45:15 46:6,11	
18 45:19 69:15	
19 46:12	
2	
2 61:16	
2000 1:19	
2014 4:2 33:16	
2015 1:15 3:16 72:14	
21 41:12 46:13 51:3,6,7	
51:11,19 52:8,11,19	
213.1 6:15	
22 54:5 62:4	
23 3:17,21 6:4,15 7:10	
8:7	
25 3:15 7:2 20:2 46:8	
25-102(a) 6:19	
25-211(b) 3:13	
25-801(g) 26:8	
250 33:4	
29 1:15	
3	
3 61:22	
30 37:11 51:13	
1 00 01.11 01.10	
	T. Control of the Con
4	
4	
4:00 72:14	
4:00 72:14 45 7:7	
4:00 72:14	